arXiv:1303.2227v2 [math.NT] 17 Apr 2013

IDENTITY FAMILIES OF MULTIPLE HARMONIC SUMS AND MULTIPLE ZETA STAR VALUES

JIANQIANG ZHAO

Department of Mathematics, Eckerd College, St. Petersburg, FL 33711
Email: zhaoj@eckerd.edu

Abstract. In this paper we present many new families of identities for multiple harmonic sums using binomial coefficients. Some of these generalize a few recent results of Hessami Pilehrood, Hessami Pilehrood and Tauraso [7]. As applications we prove several conjectures involving multiple zeta star values (MZSV): the Two-one formula conjectured by Ohno and Zudilin, and a few conjectures of Imatomi et al. involving **2**-3-**2**-1 type MZSV, where **2** means some finite string of 2's.

1. Introduction

For over two hundred years, Euler's pioneering work on double zeta values [5] was largely neglected, until in early 1990s when Zagier showed the importance of the more general multiple zeta values in his famous paper [24]. Since then these values have come up in many areas of current research in mathematics and physics, such as knot theory, motivic theory, mirror symmetry and Feymann integrals, to name just a few. One of the central problems is to determine various $\mathbb{Q}$-linear relations among these values, many of which have been discovered numerically first and then proved rigorously later. One such family that still defies a proof until now is the celebrated Two-one formula discovered by Ohno and Zudilin [18].

The main goal of this paper is to give a comprehensive study of multiple zeta star values of a few special types using the corresponding identities established first for multiple harmonic sums. As one of the applications, we give a concise proof of the Two-one formula.

We now recall some definitions. Let $\mathbb{N}$ be the set of natural numbers, $\mathbb{Z}$ the set of integers, $\mathbb{Z}^*$ the set of nonzero integers, and $\mathbb{N}_0=\mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}$. For any $\ell\in\mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbf{s}=(s_1,s_2,\dots,s_\ell)\in(\mathbb{Z}^*)^\ell$ we define the *(alternating) multiple harmonic sum* (MHS for short)

$$H_n(s_1,s_2,\dots,s_\ell)=\sum_{n\ge k_1>k_2>\dots>k_\ell\ge 1}\prod_{i=1}^{\ell}\frac{\operatorname{sgn}(s_i)^{k_i}}{k_i^{|s_i|}}, \tag{1}$$

Date: March 24, 2013.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11M32, 11B65, 11B83.

Key words and phrases. multiple harmonic sums, multiple zeta (star) values, binomial sums.

$$H^\star_n(s_1,s_2,\dots,s_\ell)=\sum_{n\ge k_1\ge k_2\ge\dots\ge k_\ell\ge 1}\prod_{i=1}^{\ell}\frac{\operatorname{sgn}(s_i)^{k_i}}{k_i^{|s_i|}}. \tag{2}$$

This star-version has been denoted by S_n in the literature but it seems to be more appropriate to use $H^\star$ in this paper due to its close connection with multiple zeta star values to be defined momentarily. Conventionally, we call $l(\mathbf{s}):=\ell$ the depth and $|\mathbf{s}|:=\sum_{i=1}^{\ell}|s_i|$ the weight. For convenience we set $H_n(\mathbf{s})=0$ if $n<l(\mathbf{s})$, $H_n(\emptyset)=H^\star_n(\emptyset)=1$ for all $n\ge 0$, and $\{s_1,s_2,\dots,s_\ell\}^r$ the set formed by repeating the composition $(s_1,s_2,\dots,s_\ell)$ exactly r times.

When $\mathbf{s}=(s_1,s_2,\dots,s_\ell)\in(\mathbb{Z}^*)^\ell$ with $(s_1,\operatorname{sgn}(s_1))\ne(1,1)$ we set, respectively, the *(alternating) Euler sum* and the *(alternating) star Euler sum* by

$$\zeta(\mathbf{s})=\lim_{n\to\infty}H_n(\mathbf{s}),\qquad \zeta^\star(\mathbf{s})=\lim_{n\to\infty}H^\star_n(\mathbf{s}). \tag{3}$$

When $\mathbf{s}\in\mathbb{N}^\ell$ they are called the *multiple zeta value* (MZV) and the *multiple zeta star value* (MZSV), respectively.

Notice that we have abused the notation in the definitions (1), (2) and (3) since all these sums can be evaluated at negative integers, because, for example, a multiple zeta function can be analytically continued to the whole complex space and it is possible to define its values at negative integers [1, 26]. Hence throughout the paper we will write $\bar n$ whenever $-n$ appears as an argument. For instance, we really should write $\zeta(\bar 2)$ instead of $\zeta(-2)$ which usually means the Riemann zeta function at -2.

We now state the Two-one formula conjectured by Ohno and Zudilin [18].

Theorem 1.1. *Let $r\in\mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbf{s}=(\{2\}^{a_1},1,\dots,\{2\}^{a_r},1)$ where $a_1\in\mathbb{N}$ and $a_j\in\mathbb{N}_0$ for all $j\ge 2$. Set $A_j=1+2a_j$ for all $j\ge 1$. Then we have*

$$\zeta^\star(\mathbf{s})=\sum_{\mathbf{p}}2^{\ell(\mathbf{p})}\zeta(\mathbf{p}),$$

where $\mathbf{p}$ runs through all indices of the form $(A_1\diamond A_2\diamond\cdots\diamond A_r)$ with "$\diamond$" being either the symbol "," or the sign "+".

In the original statement in [18] Ohno and Zudilin used $\circ$ instead of $\diamond$. We changed their notation since we will always use $\circ$ to denote composition of operations throughout this paper. Notice that in Theorem 1.1 we write $1+2a_j$ instead of $2a_j+1$. This turns out to be one of the crucial guiding principles throughout the paper.

Until recently, not many nontrivial families of identities relating MZVs or MZSVs with truly alternating Euler sums have been proved. One such result is proved by Zlobin [31]

$$\zeta^\star(\{2\}^n)=-2\zeta(\overline{2n})\quad\text{for all } n\ge 1. \tag{4}$$

Another is proved in [29]: $\zeta(\{3\}^n)=8^n\zeta(\{\bar 2,1\}^n)$. Recently, two more appear as (27) and (28) of [7] one of which yields a new proof of an identity of [25]. Notice that [7, (22)] implies (4) easily (see Lemma 4.1). To provide more such families in this paper we need some book-keeping first. A boldface of a single digit number means the number is repeated a few times. We underline a string pattern to mean the whole pattern is repeated. Thus the Two-one formula should be written as $\underline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}1}$ formula and in each repetition the **2**'s may have different lengths.

Besides the $\underline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}1}$ formula in Theorem 1.1 we show many analogous formulas in this paper. For ease of reference we list them as follows:

1. $\underline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}1}$: §2 for MHS, §4 for MZSV;
2. $\underline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}1}\text{-}\mathbf{2}$ (nontrivial substring **2** at the end): §2 for MHS, §4 for MZSV;
3. $\underline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}c}\text{-}\mathbf{2}$ (**2** at the end may be trivial): to appear in a joint work with my student Erin Linebarger [15];
4. $\underline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}c\text{-}\mathbf{2}\text{-}1}$: §5 for MHS and MZSV;
5. $\underline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}c\text{-}\mathbf{2}\text{-}1}\text{-}\mathbf{2}$ (nontrivial **2** at the end): §6 for MHS and MZSV;
6. $\mathbf{2}\text{-}1\text{-}\underline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}c\text{-}\mathbf{2}\text{-}1}$: §5 for MHS and MZSV;
7. $\mathbf{2}\text{-}1\text{-}\underline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}c\text{-}\mathbf{2}\text{-}1}\text{-}\mathbf{2}$ (nontrivial **2** at the end): §6 for MHS and MZSV;
8. $\underline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}1\text{-}\mathbf{2}\text{-}c}\text{-}\mathbf{2}$ (**2** at the end may be trivial): §9 for MHS and MZSV;
9. $\mathbf{2}\text{-}c\text{-}\underline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}1\text{-}\mathbf{2}\text{-}c}\text{-}\mathbf{2}$ (**2** at the end may be trivial): §9 for MHS and MZSV;
10. $\underline{\mathbf{1}\text{-}c}\text{-}\mathbf{1}$ (**1** at the end may be trivial): §10 for MHS.

For example, the following is the $\underline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}1}\text{-}\mathbf{2}$ *formula.*

Theorem 1.2. *Let* $r \in \mathbb{N}$ *and* $\mathbf{s} = (\{2\}^{a_1}, 1, \dots, \{2\}^{a_r}, 1, \{2\}^{a_{r+1}})$ *where* $a_1, a_{r+1} \in \mathbb{N}$ *and* $a_j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ *for all* $2 \le j \le r$. *Set* $A_j = 1 + 2a_j$ *for all* $1 \le j \le r$ *and* $A_{r+1} = 2a_{r+1}$. *For any non-empty composition* $\mathbf{e} = (e_1, \dots, e_m)$ *set* $\nu(\mathbf{e}) = (e_1, \dots, e_{m-1}, \overline{e_m})$. *Then we have*

$$\zeta^\star(\mathbf{s}) = -\sum_{\mathbf{p}} 2^{\ell(\mathbf{p})}\zeta(\nu(\mathbf{p})),$$

where $\mathbf{p}$ *runs through all indices of the form* $(A_1 \diamond A_2 \diamond \cdots \diamond A_{r+1})$ *with "*$\diamond$*" being either the symbol "," or the sign "+".*

We remark that the $\underline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}1}\text{-}\mathbf{2}$ formula cannot be degenerated to another kind of $\underline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}1}$ formula because Theorem 1.2 is false for $a_{r+1} = 0$ in general, so is the corresponding statement for MHS (see Remark 2.6). When $r = 2$, we have checked numerically the following identities for all $0 \le a, b, c \le 2$ and $ac \ne 0$ with the help of EZ-face [3]:

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta^\star(\{2\}^a, 1, \{2\}^b, 1, \{2\}^c) = &-2\zeta(\overline{2 + 2(a+b+c)}) - 4\zeta(2 + 2a + 2b, \overline{2c}) \\ &- 4\zeta(1 + 2a, \overline{1 + 2b + 2c}) - 8\zeta(1 + 2a, 1 + 2b, \overline{2c}).\end{aligned}$$

One of the main results contained in [7, Theorem 2.3] is the following theorem.

Theorem 1.3. ([7, Theorem 2.3]) *Let* $a \in \mathbb{N}$ *and* $b \in \mathbb{N}_0$. *Then for any* $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$H_n^\star(\{2\}^a, 1) = 2\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\binom{n}{k}}{k^{1+2a}\binom{n+k}{k}}, \tag{A}$$

$$H_n^\star(\{2\}^a, 1, \{2\}^b) = -2\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{(-1)^k\binom{n}{k}}{k^{1+2a+2b}\binom{n+k}{k}} - 4\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{H_{k-1}(\overline{2b})\binom{n}{k}}{k^{1+2a}\binom{n+k}{k}}. \tag{B}$$

We want to caution the reader that the convention of index ordering in [7] is opposite to ours in the definitions (1) and (2) of MHS. This is the reason why a and b in Theorem 1.3 is switched from the original statement in [7, Theorem 2.3].

In this paper we will generalize both part (A) and part (B) in Theorem 1.3 to arbitrary number of 2-strings in Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, thus obtaining the $\underline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}1}$ and $\underline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}1}\text{-}\mathbf{2}$ formulas for MHS. The proofs are straight-forward, however, the difficult part is the discovery of the theorems (using a lot of Maple experiments). By taking limits in these two theorems so that MHS become MZSV we can prove the $\underline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}1}$ formula and the $\underline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}1}\text{-}\mathbf{2}$ formula for MZSV.

In §7 and §8 we prove a few conjectures first formulated by Imatomi et al. in [12] concerning MZSV of types $\underline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}3\text{-}\mathbf{2}\text{-}1}$ and $\underline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}3\text{-}\mathbf{2}\text{-}1}\text{-}\mathbf{2}$.

In the last section we propose a few possible future research directions, one of which will be carried out in a sequel to this work in which we will study congruence properties of MHS as further applications of the results we have obtained in this paper.

Acknowledgement. We would like to thank Roberto Tauraso for sending us their preprint [7] upon which we can build our current work. This work is partially supported by NSF grant DMS1162116 which enables me to work with my students more productively. In particular, this paper is inspired by a recent collaboration with one of my students, Erin Linebarger [15]. We are able to generalize Theorems 2.1 of [7] to arbitrary number of strings of 2's using similar ideas contained in this paper.

2. MHS identities: $\underline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}1}$ formula and $\underline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}1}\text{-}\mathbf{2}$ formula

To state our main theorems we need some additional notations first.

Definition 2.1. Suppose $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbf{s}$ has one of the following two forms:

$\underline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}1}$. $\mathbf{s} = (\{2\}^{a_1}, 1, \dots, \{2\}^{a_r}, 1)$ with $a_j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ for all $j \ge 1$, or

$\underline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}1}\text{-}\mathbf{2}$. $\mathbf{s} = (\{2\}^{a_1}, 1, \dots, \{2\}^{a_r}, 1, \{2\}^{a_{r+1}})$ with $a_{r+1} \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a_j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ for all $j \le r$.

We set respectively

$$\underline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}1}.\ \tilde{\mathbf{s}} := (1+2a_1, 1+2a_2, \dots, 1+2a_r),$$

$$\underline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}1}\text{-}\mathbf{2}.\ \tilde{\mathbf{s}} := (1+2a_1, 1+2a_2, \dots, 1+2a_r, 2a_{r+1}).$$

We define further

- A *right merge* operator ρ_α on $\tilde{\mathbf{s}}$ regarded as a string of symbols: it changes the substring "$1+2a_\alpha, 1+2a_{\alpha+1}$" to "$1+2a_\alpha+1+2a_{\alpha+1}$". Notice that $\alpha < r$ in case ($\underline{\mathbf{2}}$-$\underline{1}$) and $\alpha \le r$ in case ($\underline{\mathbf{2}}$-$\underline{1}$-$\mathbf{2}$).

For any composition $\mathbf{e} = (e_1, \dots, e_m) \in (\mathbb{Z}^*)^m$ we set

- $\varphi(\mathbf{e}) = e_1$ (φ for first);
- The *truncation* operator: $\mathrm{Tr}(\mathbf{e}) = (e_2, \dots, e_m)$ if $m \ge 2$ and $\mathrm{Tr}(e_1) = \emptyset$;
- The *negation* operator: $\nu(\mathbf{e}) = (e_1, \dots, e_{m-1}, \overline{e_m})$.

For any subset $I = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m\}$ of $[r] := \{1, \dots, r\}$ we define

- The *right merge* operation $\rho_I := \rho_{\alpha_1} \circ \cdots \circ \rho_{\alpha_m}$, where $\circ$ implies composition of operations;
- The complement of I in $[r]$ is $\overline{I} := [r] \setminus I$.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbf{s} = (\{2\}^{a_1}, 1, \dots, \{2\}^{a_r}, 1)$ where $a_j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ for all $j \ge 1$. Set $\tilde{\mathbf{s}} := (1+2a_1, 1+2a_2, \dots, 1+2a_r)$. Then*

$$H_n^\star(\mathbf{s}) = 2 \sum_{I \subseteq [r-1]} 2^{|\bar{I}|} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{H_{k-1}\big(\mathrm{Tr} \circ \rho_I(\tilde{\mathbf{s}})\big)\binom{n}{k}}{k^{\varphi \circ \rho_I(\tilde{\mathbf{s}})}\binom{n+k}{k}}. \tag{5}$$

Remark 2.3. (a). Notice that $1 + |\bar{I}|$ is the length of the string $\rho_I(\tilde{\mathbf{s}})$.

(b). When $r = 1$ our Theorem 2.2 becomes Theorem 1.3(A).

(c). When $r = 2$ there are two possible subsets of $\{1\}$: $I = \emptyset$ and $I = \{1\}$. Our Theorem 2.2 is: for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{N}_0$

$$H_n^\star(\{2\}^a, 1, \{2\}^b, 1) = 2 \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\binom{n}{k}}{k^{2+2(a+b)}\binom{n+k}{k}} + 4 \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{H_{k-1}(1+2b)\binom{n}{k}}{k^{1+2a}\binom{n+k}{k}}.$$

When $r = 3$ we have: for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a, b, c \in \mathbb{N}_0$

$$\begin{aligned} H_n^\star(\{2\}^a, 1, \{2\}^b, 1, \{2\}^c, 1) = {} & 2 \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\binom{n}{k}}{k^{3+2(a+b+c)}\binom{n+k}{k}} + 4 \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{H_{k-1}(1+2c)\binom{n}{k}}{k^{2+2a+2b}\binom{n+k}{k}} \\ & + 4 \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{H_{k-1}(2+2b+2c)\binom{n}{k}}{k^{1+2a}\binom{n+k}{k}} + 8 \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{H_{k-1}(1+2b, 1+2c)\binom{n}{k}}{k^{1+2a}\binom{n+k}{k}}. \end{aligned}$$

Using Maple we have verified both formulas numerically for $a, b, c \le 5$ and $n \le 100$.

We now generalize Theorem 1.3(B).

Theorem 2.4. *Let $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\mathbf{s} = (\{2\}^{a_1}, 1, \dots, \{2\}^{a_r}, 1, \{2\}^{a_{r+1}})$ where $a_j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ for all $j \le r$ and $a_{r+1} \in \mathbb{N}$. Set $\tilde{\mathbf{s}} := (1+2a_1, 1+2a_2, \dots, 1+2a_r, 2a_{r+1})$. Then for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$*

$$H_n^\star(\mathbf{s}) = -2 \sum_{I \subseteq [r]} 2^{|\bar{I}|} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathrm{sgn}(\varphi \circ \nu \circ \rho_I(\tilde{\mathbf{s}}))^k \cdot \frac{H_{k-1}\big(\mathrm{Tr} \circ \nu \circ \rho_I(\tilde{\mathbf{s}})\big)\binom{n}{k}}{k^{|\varphi \circ \nu \circ \rho_I(\tilde{\mathbf{s}})|}\binom{n+k}{k}}. \tag{6}$$

Remark 2.5. Notice that $\operatorname{sgn}(\varphi \circ \nu \circ \rho_I(\tilde{\mathbf{s}})) = -1$ if and only if $I = [r]$. When $r = 0$ Theorem 2.4 implies [7, (19)]. When $r = 1$ Theorem 2.4 becomes Theorem 1.3(B). When $r = 2$ we get the following: for all $n, c \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{N}_0$

$$H_n^\star(\{2\}^a, 1, \{2\}^b, 1, \{2\}^c) = -2\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{(-1)^k\binom{n}{k}}{k^{2+2(a+b+c)}\binom{n+k}{k}} - 4\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{H_{k-1}(\overline{2c})\binom{n}{k}}{k^{2+2a+2b}\binom{n+k}{k}} - 4\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{H_{k-1}(\overline{1+2b+2c})\binom{n}{k}}{k^{1+2a}\binom{n+k}{k}} - 8\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{H_{k-1}(1+2b, \overline{2c})\binom{n}{k}}{k^{1+2a}\binom{n+k}{k}}. \quad (7)$$

Remark 2.6. Theorem 2.4 does not hold in general for $a_{r+1} = 0$. For example, taking $a = b = c = 0$ and $n = 2$ in (7) we see that

$$H_2^\star(1,1) = \frac{7}{4} \neq \text{RHS of (7)} = \frac{17}{12}.$$

3. A COMBINATORIAL LEMMA

In this short section we prove the following combinatorial identities which are similar in spirit to [7, Lemma 2.2]. We will need these results numerous times throughout this paper.

Lemma 3.1. *Let* $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $A_{n,k}^{(m)} = \binom{mn}{n-k} c_n^{(m)}$ *where* $c_n^{(m)}$ *is an arbitrary sequence independent of* k, *and* $B_{n,k}^{(m)} = (-1)^k A_{n,k}^{(m)}$. *Suppose* $c \in \mathbb{N}$, *and* $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_r) \in (\mathbb{Z}^*)^r$. *If* $s_r > 0$ *then*

$$\frac{1}{n^c}\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{H_{k-1}(\mathbf{v})A_{n,k}^{(m)}}{k^a} = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{H_{k-1}(\mathbf{v})A_{n,k}^{(m)}}{k^{a+c}} + \sum_{\substack{j+|\mathbf{s}|=a+c\\ j\ge 0, s_r>a}} m^{l(\mathbf{s})} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{H_{k-1}(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{v})A_{n,k}^{(m)}}{k^j}, \quad (8)$$

and

$$n\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{H_{k-1}(\mathbf{v})B_{n,k}^{(2)}}{k^a} = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{H_{k-1}(\mathbf{v})B_{n,k}^{(2)}}{k^{a-1}} + 2\sum_{k=1}^n H_{k-1}(a,\mathbf{v})kB_{n,k}^{(2)}. \quad (9)$$

If $s_r < 0$ *then*

$$\frac{1}{n^c}\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{H_{k-1}(\mathbf{v})B_{n,k}^{(m)}}{k^a} = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{H_{k-1}(\mathbf{v})B_{n,k}^{(m)}}{k^{a+c}} + \sum_{\substack{j+|\mathbf{s}|=a+c\\ j\ge 0, |s_r|>a}} m^{l(\mathbf{s})} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{H_{k-1}(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{v})A_{n,k}^{(m)}}{k^j}, \quad (10)$$

and

$$n\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{H_{k-1}(\mathbf{v})A_{n,k}^{(2)}}{k^a} = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{H_{k-1}(\mathbf{v})A_{n,k}^{(2)}}{k^{a-1}} + 2\sum_{k=1}^n H_{k-1}(\overline{a},\mathbf{v})kB_{n,k}^{(2)}. \quad (11)$$

Proof. We need to mention again that the ordering is reversed in this paper so s_1 in [7, Lemma 2.2] should be the last component of $\mathbf{s}$ in our setup. Now, equation (8) follows from [7, Lemma 2.2] directly. We may also use this proof for (10) by taking the sign of s_r into consideration.

Now by the identity proved in [7, Lemma 2.1]

$$2\sum_{k=l+1}^{n}\frac{k\binom{n}{k}}{\binom{n+k}{k}}=\frac{n\binom{n-1}{l}}{\binom{n+l}{l}}=\frac{(n-l)\binom{n}{l}}{\binom{n+l}{l}} \tag{12}$$

we see that

$$\begin{aligned}2\sum_{k=1}^{n}H_{k-1}(a,\mathbf{v})kB^{(2)}_{n,k}&=\sum_{l=1}^{n}\frac{H_{l-1}(\mathbf{v})}{l^a}\sum_{k=l+1}^{n}2kB^{(2)}_{n,k}\\&=\sum_{l=1}^{n}\frac{H_{l-1}(\mathbf{v})}{l^a}(n-l)B^{(2)}_{n,l}\\&=n\sum_{l=1}^{n}\frac{H_{l-1}(\mathbf{v})B^{(2)}_{n,l}}{l^a}-\sum_{l=1}^{n}\frac{H_{l-1}(\mathbf{v})B^{(2)}_{n,l}}{l^{a-1}}\end{aligned}$$

which is (9). Similar argument yields (11). We leave the details to the interested reader. □

Remark 3.2. In this paper we will always choose $c_n^{(1)}=1$ so that $A^{(1)}_{n,k}=\binom{n}{k}$ and $c_n^{(2)}=(n!)^2/(2n)!$ so that $A^{(2)}_{n,k}=\binom{n}{k}/\binom{n+k}{k}$.

4. PROOF OF THEOREMS 2.2 AND 2.4, $\underline{\mathbf{2}}$-$\underline{1}$ AND $\underline{\mathbf{2}}$-$\underline{1}$-$\mathbf{2}$ FORMULAS

In the case $n=1$ both statements in Theorems 2.2 and 2.4 become trivially $1=1$. We now assume $n\ge 2$. By definition, for $\mathbf{s}=(\{2\}^{a_1},1,\dots,\{2\}^{a_r},1)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}H_n^\star(\mathbf{s})=&\sum_{l=0}^{a_1}\frac{1}{n^{2a_1-2l}}H^\star_{n-1}(\{2\}^l,1,\{2\}^{a_2},1,\dots,\{2\}^{a_r},1)\\&+\frac{1}{n^{1+2a_1}}H^\star_n(\{2\}^{a_2},1,\dots,\{2\}^{a_r},1).\end{aligned}$$

By induction on $r+n$ we see that

$$\begin{aligned}H_n^\star(\mathbf{s})=&\sum_{l=0}^{a_1}\frac{2}{n^{2a_1-2l}}\sum_{I\subseteq[r-1]}2^{|\overline{I}|}\sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\frac{H_{k-1}\big(\operatorname{Tr}\circ\rho_I(\tilde{\mathbf{t}}_l)\big)\binom{n-1}{k}}{k^{\varphi\circ\rho_I(\tilde{\mathbf{t}}_l)}\binom{n-1+k}{k}}\\&+\frac{2}{n^{1+2a_1}}\sum_{J\subseteq\{2,\dots,r-1\}}2^{|\overline{J}|}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\frac{H_{k-1}\big(\operatorname{Tr}\circ\rho_J(\tilde{\mathbf{u}})\big)\binom{n}{k}}{k^{\varphi\circ\rho_J(\tilde{\mathbf{u}})}\binom{n+k}{k}},\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

where $\tilde{\mathbf{t}}_l=(1+2l,1+2a_2,\dots,1+2a_r)$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}=(1+2a_2,\dots,1+2a_r)$. Notice that one of the summands in $\varphi\circ\rho_I(\tilde{\mathbf{t}}_l)$ is always $2l$. By changing the order of summations and using the identity

$$\sum_{l=0}^{a_1}\left(\frac{n}{k}\right)^{2l}=\frac{1}{k^{2a_1}}\cdot\frac{n^{2a_1+2}-k^{2a_1+2}}{(n-k)(n+k)} \tag{14}$$

we see easily that the triple sum on the right hand side of (13) is equal to

$$\frac{2}{n^{2a_1+2}}\sum_{I\subseteq[r-1]} 2^{|\bar{I}|}\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{H_{k-1}\big(\operatorname{Tr}\circ\rho_I(\tilde{\mathbf{s}})\big)\binom{n}{k}}{k^{\varphi\circ\rho_I(\tilde{\mathbf{s}})}\binom{n+k}{k}}(n^{2a_1+2}-k^{2a_1+2})$$

since $\operatorname{Tr}\circ\rho_I(\tilde{\mathbf{t}}_l)=\operatorname{Tr}\circ\rho_I(\tilde{\mathbf{s}})$ for all l. Observe that we have the obvious partition $\bigcup\{I\subseteq[r-1]\}=\bigcup_{J\subseteq\{2,\dots,r-1\}}\{J,\{1\}\cup J\}$. For each fixed $J\subseteq\{2,\dots,r-1\}$ set $\rho_J(\tilde{\mathbf{s}})=(d,b,\mathbf{v})$ for $d=1+2a_1$, some $b>0$ and $\mathbf{v}$ (possibly empty). It suffices to prove that

$$n\sum_{k=1}^{n}\frac{H_{k-1}(\mathbf{v})\binom{n}{k}}{k^{b}\binom{n+k}{k}}=\sum_{k=1}^{n}\frac{H_{k-1}(\mathbf{v})\binom{n}{k}}{k^{b-1}\binom{n+k}{k}}+2\sum_{k=1}^{n}\frac{kH_{k-1}(b,\mathbf{v})\binom{n}{k}}{\binom{n+k}{k}}, \tag{15}$$

which follows trivially from (9) of Lemma 3.1. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.2. Exactly the same argument works almost word for word for Theorem 2.4 so we leave the details to the interested reader.

We can now prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 by using Theorems 2.2 and 2.4 and the following key lemma proved in [15].

Lemma 4.1. [15, Lemma 4.2] *Let* $d\in\mathbb{N}_0$ *and let* e *be a real number with* $e>1$*. Then for all* $\mathbf{s}\in(\mathbb{Z}^*)^d$ *(*$\mathbf{s}=\emptyset$ *if* $d=0$*) we have*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\frac{|H_{k-1}(\mathbf{s})|}{k^{e}}\left(1-\frac{\binom{n}{k}}{\binom{n+k}{k}}\right)=0. \tag{16}$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1 *and Theorem* 1.2. We observe that in Theorem 2.2 the first component $|\varphi\circ\rho_I(\tilde{\mathbf{s}})|\geq 2a_1+1\geq 3$, and in Theorem 2.4 the first component also satisfies $|\varphi\circ\nu\circ\rho_I(\tilde{\mathbf{s}})|\geq 2a_1+1\geq 3$. Therefore both theorems follow from Lemma 4.1 immediately. □

Remark 4.2. In [23] Yamamoto considers some algebraic structures depending on a variable t which reflect the properties of MZV and MZSV when $t=0$ and $t=1$, respectively. As he pointed out [22, Conjecture 4.4] the validity of the **2-1** formula Theorem 1.1 implies that the the algebra structure of MZSVs of the form $\zeta^\star(\{2\}^{a_1},1,\dots,\{2\}^{a_r},1)$ is reflected by setting $t=1/2$.

5. MHS AND MZSV IDENTITIES: **2-*c*-2-1** FORMULA OR **2**-1-**2-*c*-2-1** FORMULA

In this and the next three sections we utilize the ideas in the previous sections to derive more MHS identities involving arguments of $(\{2\}^a,1)$-type alternating with those of $(\{2\}^b,c)$-type ($c>1$). In this section, we start by considering strings ending with $(\{2\}^a,1)$-type. As before, we study the MHS first and then derive the corresponding MZSV identities by invoking Lemma 4.1.

To state our results we need some more notations.

Definition 5.1. Suppose $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $a_j, b_j, c_j - 2 \in \mathbb{N}_0$ for all $j \geq 1$. Suppose $\mathbf{s}$ assumes one of the following six forms:

$$\begin{aligned}
\underline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}c\text{-}\mathbf{2}\text{-}\mathbf{1}}.\ \mathbf{s} &= (\{2\}^{b_1}, c_1, \{2\}^{a_1}, 1, \dots, \{2\}^{b_r}, c_r, \{2\}^{a_r}, 1),\ r \geq 1,\\
\mathbf{2}\text{-}\mathbf{1}\text{-}\underline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}c\text{-}\mathbf{2}\text{-}\mathbf{1}}.\ \mathbf{s} &= (\{2\}^{a_1}, 1, \{2\}^{b_1}, c_1, \dots, \{2\}^{a_r}, 1, \{2\}^{b_r}, c_r, \{2\}^{a_{r+1}}, 1),\ r \geq 0,\\
\underline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}c\text{-}\mathbf{2}\text{-}\mathbf{1}}\text{-}\mathbf{2}.\ \mathbf{s} &= (\{2\}^{b_1}, c_1, \{2\}^{a_1}, 1, \dots, \{2\}^{b_r}, c_r, \{2\}^{a_r}, 1, \{2\}^{t}),\ t \geq 1,\ r \geq 1,\\
\mathbf{2}\text{-}\mathbf{1}\text{-}\underline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}c\text{-}\mathbf{2}\text{-}\mathbf{1}}\text{-}\mathbf{2}.\ \mathbf{s} &= (\{2\}^{a_1}, 1, \{2\}^{b_1}, c_1, \dots, \{2\}^{b_r}, c_r, \{2\}^{a_{r+1}}, 1, \{2\}^{t}),\ t \geq 1,\ r \geq 0,\\
\underline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}\mathbf{1}\text{-}\mathbf{2}\text{-}c}\text{-}\mathbf{2}.\ \mathbf{s} &= (\{2\}^{a_1}, 1, \{2\}^{b_1}, c_1, \dots, \{2\}^{a_r}, 1, \{2\}^{b_r}, c_r, \{2\}^{t}),\ t \geq 0,\ r \geq 1,\\
\mathbf{2}\text{-}c\text{-}\underline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}\mathbf{1}\text{-}\mathbf{2}\text{-}c}\text{-}\mathbf{2}.\ \mathbf{s} &= (\{2\}^{b_1}, c_1, \{2\}^{a_1}, 1, \dots, \{2\}^{a_r}, 1, \{2\}^{b_{r+1}}, c_{r+1}, \{2\}^{t}),\ t, r \geq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Here the substring $\{2\}^t$ is regarded as a decorating part which must be non-trivial in the middle two cases but can be empty in the last two. We set, respectively,

$$\begin{aligned}
\underline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}c\text{-}\mathbf{2}\text{-}\mathbf{1}}.\ \tilde{\mathbf{s}} &:= (2b_1, c_1, 1 + 2a_1, 2b_2, \dots, 2b_r, c_r, 1 + 2a_r),\\
\mathbf{2}\text{-}\mathbf{1}\text{-}\underline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}c\text{-}\mathbf{2}\text{-}\mathbf{1}}.\ \tilde{\mathbf{s}} &:= (1 + 2a_1, 2b_1, c_1, 1 + 2a_2, \dots, 1 + 2a_r, 2b_r, c_r, 1 + 2a_{r+1}),\\
\underline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}c\text{-}\mathbf{2}\text{-}\mathbf{1}}\text{-}\mathbf{2}.\ \tilde{\mathbf{s}} &:= (2b_1, c_1, 1 + 2a_1, 2b_2, \dots, 2b_r, c_r, 1 + 2a_r, 2t),\\
\mathbf{2}\text{-}\mathbf{1}\text{-}\underline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}c\text{-}\mathbf{2}\text{-}\mathbf{1}}\text{-}\mathbf{2}.\ \tilde{\mathbf{s}} &:= (1 + 2a_1, 2b_1, c_1, 1 + 2a_2, \dots, 1 + 2a_r, 2b_r, c_r, 1 + 2a_{r+1}, 2t),\\
\underline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}\mathbf{1}\text{-}\mathbf{2}\text{-}c}\text{-}\mathbf{2}.\ \tilde{\mathbf{s}} &:= (1 + 2a_1, 2b_1, c_1, 1 + 2a_2, \dots, 1 + 2a_r, 2b_r, c_r, 2t),\\
\mathbf{2}\text{-}c\text{-}\underline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}\mathbf{1}\text{-}\mathbf{2}\text{-}c}\text{-}\mathbf{2}.\ \tilde{\mathbf{s}} &:= (2b_1, c_1, 1 + 2a_1, 2b_2, \dots, 2b_r, c_r, 1 + 2a_r, 2b_{r+1}, c_{r+1}, 2t),
\end{aligned}$$

Define three kinds of operation on $\tilde{\mathbf{s}}$ by regarding $\tilde{\mathbf{s}}$ as a string of symbols. For any $\alpha \in [r] := \{1, \dots, r\}$:

- A *substitution* σ_α acts on all of the above six types of strings by mapping the substring "$2b_\alpha, c_\alpha, 1{+}2a_\alpha$" to "$\overline{j_\alpha + 2b_\alpha}, \mathbf{s}_\alpha, \overline{i_\alpha + 1 + 2a_\alpha}$" and mapping the substring "$1 + 2a_\alpha, c_\alpha, 2b_\alpha$" to "$\overline{i_\alpha + 1 + 2a_\alpha}, \mathbf{s}_\alpha, \overline{j_\alpha + 2b_\alpha}$";
- A *central merge* μ_α changes the symbols "$, c_\alpha,$" to "$+ c_\alpha +$";
- A *signed right merge* ρ_α (only for $\alpha \leq r$ in case ($\mathbf{2}\text{-}\mathbf{1}\text{-}\underline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}c\text{-}\mathbf{2}\text{-}\mathbf{1}}$)) changes the substring "$\cdots 1{+}2a_\alpha,$" (resp. "$\overline{\cdots 1 + 2a_\alpha},$") to "$\cdots 1{+}2a_\alpha \oplus$" (resp. "$\overline{\cdots 1 + 2a_\alpha} \oplus$") where the binary operation $\oplus$ has the lower priority than $+$ and is defined by

  $$x \oplus y = \operatorname{sgn}(x) \cdot \operatorname{sgn}(y) \cdot (|x| + |y|) \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^*.$$

  Moreover, ρ_α can be applied only **after** all substitutions and central merges have been applied already.
- For any $I = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m\} \subseteq [r]$ we define the substitution $\sigma_I = \sigma_{\alpha_1} \circ \cdots \circ \sigma_{\alpha_m}$ and similarly define μ_I and ρ_I. Finally, we set the combined operator

  $$\kappa_I = \sigma_{\overline{I}} \circ \mu_I.$$

Other operations such as the truncation Tr and negation ν are defined in the same way as in Definition 2.1. Observe that when all the entries in $\tilde{\mathbf{t}}$ are positive the signed right merge acting on $\tilde{\mathbf{t}}$ is the same as the right merge as defined in Definition 2.1.

For example, for a string in case $(\mathbf{2}\text{-}1\text{-}\underline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}c\text{-}\mathbf{2}\text{-}1})$ with $r=3$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\rho_1\circ\rho_2\circ\kappa_3(1+2a_1,2b_1,c_1,1+2a_2,2b_2,c_2,1+2a_3,2b_3,c_3,1+2a_4)\\ =&(\overline{1+2a_1+j_1+2b_1},\mathbf{s}_1,i_1+1+2a_2+j_2+2b_2,\mathbf{s}_2,\overline{i_2+1+2a_3},2b_3+c_3+1+2a_4).\end{aligned}$$

Remark 5.2. By our definition it is clear that after we apply the three kinds of operations on $\tilde{\mathbf{s}}$ the symbols (when ignoring the sign) immediately before every comma "," must be one of the following: a_α, b_α, or $\mathbf{s}_\alpha$ for some α. This will be the key to the proof of some conjectures by Imatomi et al. [12] in § 7.

Theorem 5.3. *Let $r\in\mathbb{N}$ and $a_j,b_j,c_j-2\in\mathbb{N}_0$ for all $j\ge1$. Consider the following two possible types of compositions:*

$$\begin{aligned}(\underline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}c\text{-}\mathbf{2}\text{-}1}).&\ \mathbf{s}=(\{2\}^{b_1},c_1,\{2\}^{a_1},1,\dots,\{2\}^{b_r},c_r,\{2\}^{a_r},1),\\ (\mathbf{2}\text{-}1\text{-}\underline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}c\text{-}\mathbf{2}\text{-}1}).&\ \mathbf{s}=(\{2\}^{a_1},1,\{2\}^{b_1},c_1,\dots,\{2\}^{a_r},1,\{2\}^{b_r},c_r,\{2\}^{a_{r+1}},1).\end{aligned}$$

In each case we set $\tilde{\mathbf{s}}$ as in Definition 5.1. *Then*

$$\begin{aligned}H^\star_n(\mathbf{s})=2\sum_{J\subseteq[r-1]^*}\sum_{I\subseteq[r]}\sum_{\substack{i_\alpha+j_\alpha+|\mathbf{s}_\alpha|=c_\alpha,\\ i_\alpha\ge1,j_\alpha\ge2\ \forall\alpha\notin I}}&2^{|\bar I|+|\bar J|+\sum_{\alpha\notin I}l(\mathbf{s}_\alpha)}\\ &\cdot\sum_{k=1}^n\operatorname{sgn}\big(\varphi\circ\rho_J\circ\kappa_I(\tilde{\mathbf{s}})\big)^k\cdot\frac{H_{k-1}\big(\operatorname{Tr}\circ\rho_J\circ\kappa_I(\tilde{\mathbf{s}})\big)\binom{n}{k}}{k^{|\varphi\circ\rho_J\circ\kappa_I(\tilde{\mathbf{s}})|}\binom{n+k}{k}},\end{aligned}\tag{17}$$

where $[r-1]^*=[r-1]$ in case $(\underline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}c\text{-}\mathbf{2}\text{-}1})$ and $[r-1]^*=[r]$ in case $(\mathbf{2}\text{-}1\text{-}\underline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}c\text{-}\mathbf{2}\text{-}1})$.

Remark 5.4. Notice that $1+|\bar I|+|\bar J|+\sum_{\alpha\notin I}l(\mathbf{s}_\alpha)$ is exactly the length of the string $\rho_J\circ\kappa_I(\tilde{\mathbf{s}})$.

Proof. We proceed by induction on n. When $n=1$ the theorem is clear. Assuming the theorem is true for all $n+r\le N$ where $N\ge2$. Suppose now we have $n\ge2$ and $n+r=N+1$. Let $\mathbf{s}=(\{2\}^{b_1},c_1,\{2\}^{a_1},1,\dots,\{2\}^{b_r},c_r,\{2\}^{a_r},1)$. We have by definition

$$\begin{aligned}H^\star_n(\mathbf{s})=&\sum_{l=0}^{b_1}\frac{1}{n^{2b_1-2l}}H^\star_{n-1}(\{2\}^l,c_1,\{2\}^{a_1},1,\{2\}^{b_2},\dots,\{2\}^{b_r},c_r,\{2\}^{a_r},1)\\ &+\frac{1}{n^{2b_1+c_1}}H^\star_n(\{2\}^{a_1},1,\{2\}^{b_2},c_2,\{2\}^{a_2},1,\dots,\{2\}^{b_r},c_r,\{2\}^{a_r},1).\end{aligned}$$

By the induction assumption we see that

$$\begin{aligned}H^\star_n(\mathbf{s})=\sum_{l=0}^{b_1}\frac{2}{n^{2b_1-2l}}\sum_{J\subseteq[r-1]}\sum_{I\subseteq[r]}\sum_{\substack{i_\alpha+j_\alpha+|\mathbf{s}_\alpha|=c_\alpha,\\ i_\alpha\ge1,j_\alpha\ge2\ \forall\alpha\notin I}}&2^{|\bar I|+|\bar J|+\sum_{\alpha\notin I}l(\mathbf{s}_\alpha)}\\ &\cdot\sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\operatorname{sgn}\big(\varphi\circ\rho_J\circ\kappa_I(\tilde{\mathbf{t}}_l)\big)^k\cdot\frac{H_{k-1}\big(\operatorname{Tr}\circ\rho_J\circ\kappa_I(\tilde{\mathbf{t}}_l)\big)\binom{n-1}{k}}{k^{|\varphi\circ\rho_J\circ\kappa_I(\tilde{\mathbf{t}}_l)|}\binom{n-1+k}{k}}\end{aligned}\tag{18}$$

$$+\frac{2}{n^{2b_1+c_1}} \sum_{J\subseteq[r-1]} \sum_{K\subseteq\{2,\dots,r\}} \sum_{\substack{i_\alpha+j_\alpha+|\mathbf{s}_\alpha|=c_\alpha,\\ i_\alpha\geq 1, j_\alpha\geq 2\ \forall\alpha\notin K}} 2^{|\bar{K}|+|\bar{J}|+\sum_{\alpha\notin K} l(\mathbf{s}_\alpha)}$$

$$\cdot \sum_{k=1}^{n} \operatorname{sgn}\big(\varphi\circ\rho_J\circ\kappa_K(\tilde{\mathbf{u}})\big)^k \cdot \frac{H_{k-1}\big(\operatorname{Tr}\circ\rho_J\circ\kappa_K(\tilde{\mathbf{u}})\big)\binom{n}{k}}{k^{|\varphi\circ\rho_J\circ\kappa_K(\tilde{\mathbf{u}})|}\binom{n+k}{k}},$$

where $\tilde{\mathbf{t}}_l=(2l,c_1,1+2a_1,2b_2,\dots,2b_r,c_r,1+2a_r)$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}=(1+2a_1,2b_2,c_2,\dots,c_r,1+2a_r)$. Notice that one of the summands in $\varphi\circ\rho_J\circ\kappa_I(\tilde{\mathbf{t}}_l)$ is always $2l$. By changing the order of summations and using the identity (14) we see easily that the first quintuple sum in (18) is equal to

$$\frac{2}{n^{2b_1+2}} \sum_{J\subseteq[r-1]} \sum_{I\subseteq[r]} \sum_{\substack{i_\alpha+j_\alpha+|\mathbf{s}_\alpha|=c_\alpha,\\ i_\alpha\geq 1, j_\alpha\geq 2\ \forall\alpha\notin I}} 2^{|\bar{I}|+|\bar{J}|+\sum_{\alpha\notin I} l(\mathbf{s}_\alpha)}$$

$$\cdot \sum_{k=1}^{n} \operatorname{sgn}\big(\varphi\circ\rho_J\circ\kappa_I(\tilde{\mathbf{s}})\big)^k \cdot \frac{H_{k-1}\big(\operatorname{Tr}\circ\rho_J\circ\kappa_I(\tilde{\mathbf{s}})\big)\binom{n}{k}}{k^{|\varphi\circ\rho_J\circ\kappa_I(\tilde{\mathbf{s}})|}\binom{n+k}{k}}(n^{2b_1+2}-k^{2b_1+2})$$

since $\operatorname{Tr}\circ\rho_J\circ\kappa_I(\tilde{\mathbf{t}}_l)=\operatorname{Tr}\circ\rho_J\circ\kappa_I(\tilde{\mathbf{s}})$ for all l. Observe that we have the partition $\bigcup\{I\subseteq[r-1]\}=\bigcup_{K\subseteq\{2,\dots,r-1\}}\{K,\{1\}\cup K\}$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
\kappa_K(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}) =&(1+2a_1,\mathbf{x}), & |\{2,\dots,r\}\setminus K| =&r-1-|K|,\\
\kappa_{K\cup\{1\}}(\tilde{\mathbf{s}}) =&(2b_1+c_1+1+2a_1,\mathbf{x}), & |\{2,\dots,r\}\setminus K| =&r-1-|K|,\\
\kappa_K(\tilde{\mathbf{s}}) =&(\overline{j_1+2b_1},\mathbf{s}_1,\overline{i_1+1+2a_1},\mathbf{x}), & |\{1,\dots,r\}\setminus K| =&r-|K|,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{x}$ in the above three expressions are exactly the same. Now we consider three cases:

(1). $1\notin I$. Then there exists $\mathbf{v}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_I\circ\kappa_K(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}) =&(1+2a_1,\mathbf{v}),\\
\rho_I\circ\kappa_{K\cup\{1\}}(\tilde{\mathbf{s}}) =&(2b_1+c_1+1+2a_1,\mathbf{v}),\\
\rho_I\circ\kappa_K(\tilde{\mathbf{s}}) =&(\overline{j_1+2b_1},\mathbf{s}_1,\overline{i_1+1+2a_1},\mathbf{v}).
\end{aligned}$$

(2). $1\in I$. If $\rho_{I\setminus\{1\}}\circ\kappa_K(\tilde{\mathbf{u}})=(1+2a_1,\mathbf{w})$ for some $\mathbf{w}=(d,\mathbf{v})$ with $d>0$ or for $\mathbf{w}=\emptyset$ (set $d=0$ and $\mathbf{v}=\emptyset$ when this happens) then we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_I\circ\kappa_K(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}) =&(1+2a_1+d,\mathbf{v}),\\
\rho_I\circ\kappa_{K\cup\{1\}}(\tilde{\mathbf{s}}) =&(2b_1+c_1+1+2a_1+d,\mathbf{v}),\\
\rho_I\circ\kappa_K(\tilde{\mathbf{s}}) =&(\overline{j_1+2b_1},\mathbf{s}_1,\overline{i_1+1+2a_1+d},\mathbf{v}).
\end{aligned}$$

(3). $1\in I$. If $\rho_{I\setminus\{1\}}\circ\kappa_K(\tilde{\mathbf{u}})=(1+2a_1,\bar{d},\mathbf{v})$ with $d>0$ then

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_I\circ\kappa_K(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}) =&(\overline{1+2a_1+d},\mathbf{v}),\\
\rho_I\circ\kappa_{K\cup\{1\}}(\tilde{\mathbf{s}}) =&(\overline{2b_1+c_1+1+2a_1+d},\mathbf{v}),\\
\rho_I\circ\kappa_K(\tilde{\mathbf{s}}) =&(\overline{j_1+2b_1},\mathbf{s}_1,i_1+1+2a_1+d,\mathbf{v}).
\end{aligned}$$

For each $I\subseteq[r-1],\ K\subseteq\{2,\dots,r-1\}$ it suffices to prove that in case (1) and (2)

$$2^{l(\mathbf{s}_1)+1}\sum_{\substack{i_1+j_1+|\mathbf{s}_1|=c_1,\\ i_1\ge 1, j_1\ge 2}}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\frac{H_{k-1}(\mathbf{s}_1,\overline{i_1+q},\mathbf{v})(-1)^k\binom{n}{k}}{k^{j_1-2}\binom{n+k}{k}}$$

$$=\frac{1}{n^{c_1-2}}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\frac{H_{k-1}(\mathbf{v})\binom{n}{k}}{k^q\binom{n+k}{k}}-\sum_{k=1}^{n}\frac{H_{k-1}(\mathbf{v})\binom{n}{k}}{k^{c_1+q-1}\binom{n+k}{k}},\tag{19}$$

and in case (3)

$$2^{l(\mathbf{s}_1)+1}\sum_{\substack{i_1+j_1+|\mathbf{s}_1|=c_1,\\ i_1\ge 1, j_1\ge 2}}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\frac{H_{k-1}(\mathbf{s}_1,i_1+q,\mathbf{v})(-1)^k\binom{n}{k}}{k^{j_1-2}\binom{n+k}{k}}$$

$$=\frac{1}{n^{c_1-2}}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\frac{H_{k-1}(\mathbf{v})(-1)^k\binom{n}{k}}{k^q\binom{n+k}{k}}-\sum_{k=1}^{n}\frac{H_{k-1}(\mathbf{v})(-1)^k\binom{n}{k}}{k^{c_1+q-1}\binom{n+k}{k}},\tag{20}$$

where $q=1+2a_1$ in case (1) and $q=1+2a_1+d$ in case (2) and (3). Equation (19) follows from (10) of Lemma 3.1 by taking $c=c_1-2, j=j_1-2, a=1+2a_1+d, m=2$ and $\mathbf{s}=(\mathbf{s}_1,\overline{i_1+q})$. Equation (20) follows from (8) with the same choice of parameters except $\mathbf{s}=(\mathbf{s}_1,i_1+q)$. This proves (17) for case $(\underline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}c\text{-}\mathbf{2}\text{-}1})$ when $r+n=N+1$.

Now we turn to case $(\mathbf{2}\text{-}1\text{-}\underline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}c\text{-}\mathbf{2}\text{-}1})$. Let $\mathbf{s}=(\{2\}^{a_1},1,\{2\}^{b_1},c_1,\dots,\{2\}^{a_r},1,\{2\}^{b_r},c_r,$ $\{2\}^{a_{r+1}},1)$. By definition

$$\begin{aligned}H^\star_n(\mathbf{s})=&\sum_{l=0}^{a_1}\frac{1}{n^{2a_1-2l}}H^\star_{n-1}(\{2\}^l,1,\{2\}^{b_1},c_1,\dots,\{2\}^{a_r},1,\{2\}^{b_r},c_r,\{2\}^{a_{r+1}},1)\\ &+\frac{1}{n^{1+2a_1}}H^\star_n(\{2\}^{b_1},c_1,\{2\}^{a_2},1,\dots,\{2\}^{a_r},1,\{2\}^{b_r},c_r,\{2\}^{a_{r+1}},1).\end{aligned}$$

By the induction assumption and the fact that we have just proved (17) for case $(\underline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}c\text{-}\mathbf{2}\text{-}1})$ with $r+n=N+1$ we see that

$$\begin{aligned}H^\star_n(\mathbf{s})=&\sum_{l=0}^{a_1}\frac{2}{n^{2a_1-2l}}\sum_{I\subseteq[r]}\sum_{J\subseteq[r]}\sum_{\substack{i_\alpha+j_\alpha+|\mathbf{s}_\alpha|=c_\alpha,\\ i_\alpha\ge 1, j_\alpha\ge 2\ \forall\alpha\notin I}}2^{|\bar I|+|\bar J|+\sum_{\alpha\notin I}l(\mathbf{s}_\alpha)}\\ &\cdot\sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\operatorname{sgn}\big(\varphi\circ\rho_J\circ\kappa_I(\tilde{\mathbf{t}}_l)\big)^k\cdot\frac{H_{k-1}\big(\operatorname{Tr}\circ\rho_J\circ\kappa_I(\tilde{\mathbf{t}}_l)\big)\binom{n-1}{k}}{k^{|\varphi\circ\rho_J\circ\kappa_I(\tilde{\mathbf{t}}_l)|}\binom{n-1+k}{k}}\\ &+\frac{2}{n^{1+2a_1}}\sum_{I\subseteq[r]}\sum_{K\subseteq\{2,\dots,r\}}\sum_{\substack{i_\alpha+j_\alpha+|\mathbf{s}_\alpha|=c_\alpha,\\ i_\alpha\ge 1, j_\alpha\ge 2\ \forall\alpha\notin I}}2^{|\bar I|+|\bar K|+\sum_{\alpha\notin I}l(\mathbf{s}_\alpha)}\\ &\cdot\sum_{k=1}^{n}\operatorname{sgn}\big(\varphi\circ\rho_K\circ\kappa_I(\tilde{\mathbf{u}})\big)^k\cdot\frac{H_{k-1}\big(\operatorname{Tr}\circ\rho_K\circ\kappa_I(\tilde{\mathbf{u}})\big)\binom{n}{k}}{k^{|\varphi\circ\rho_K\circ\kappa_I(\tilde{\mathbf{u}})|}\binom{n+k}{k}},\end{aligned}\tag{21}$$

where $\tilde{\mathbf{t}}_l=(1+2l,2b_1,c_1,1+2a_2,2b_2,\dots,2b_r,c_r,1+2a_{r+1})$ (i.e. $\tilde{\mathbf{s}}$ with first component replaced by $1+2l$) and $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}=(2b_1,c_1,1+2a_2,\dots,2b_r,c_r,1+2a_{r+1})$. Since one of the summand in $\varphi \circ \rho_J \circ \kappa_I(\tilde{\mathbf{t}}_l)$ is always $2l$ by changing the order of summations and using the identity (14) we see that the first quintuple sum in (21) is equal to

$$\frac{2}{n^{2a_1+2}} \sum_{J\subseteq[r]} \sum_{I\subseteq[r]} \sum_{\substack{i_\alpha+j_\alpha+|\mathbf{s}_\alpha|=c_\alpha,\\ i_\alpha\ge1, j_\alpha\ge2\ \forall\alpha\notin I}} 2^{|\bar{I}|+|\bar{J}|+\sum_{\alpha\notin I} l(\mathbf{s}_\alpha)}$$
$$\cdot \sum_{k=1}^{n} \operatorname{sgn}\big(\varphi \circ \rho_J \circ \kappa_I(\tilde{\mathbf{s}})\big)^k \cdot \frac{H_{k-1}\big(\operatorname{Tr} \circ \rho_J \circ \kappa_I(\tilde{\mathbf{s}})\big)\binom{n}{k}}{k^{|\varphi\circ\rho_J\circ\kappa_I(\tilde{\mathbf{s}})|}\binom{n+k}{k}} (n^{2a_1+2} - k^{2a_1+2}).$$

Observe that we have the partition $\bigcup\{J \subseteq [r]\} = \bigcup_{K\subseteq\{2,\dots,r-1\}}\{K, \{1\}\cup K\}$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_K(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}) =&(2b_1, c_1, \mathbf{x}), & |\{2,\dots,r\}\setminus K| =&r-1-|K|,\\ \rho_{K\cup\{1\}}(\tilde{\mathbf{s}}) =&(1+2a_1+2b_1, c_1, \mathbf{x}), & |\{2,\dots,r\}\setminus K| =&r-1-|K|,\\ \rho_K(\tilde{\mathbf{s}}) =&(1+2a_1, 2b_1, c_1, \mathbf{x}), & |\{1,\dots,r\}\setminus K| =&r-|K|, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{x}$ in the above three expressions are exactly the same. But we need to apply ρ only after μ's and σ's. Now we consider three cases:

(1). $1 \notin I$. If $\rho_K \circ \kappa_{I\setminus\{1\}}(\tilde{\mathbf{s}}) = (1+2a_1, 2b_1, c_1, \mathbf{w})$ for some $\mathbf{w}$ then there is some $\mathbf{v}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_K \circ \kappa_I(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}) =&(\overline{j_1+2b_1}, \mathbf{s}_1, \mathbf{v}),\\ \rho_{K\cup\{1\}} \circ \kappa_I(\tilde{\mathbf{s}}) =&(\overline{1+2a_1+j_1+2b_1}, \mathbf{s}_1, \mathbf{v}),\\ \rho_K \circ \kappa_I(\tilde{\mathbf{s}}) =&(1+2a_1, \overline{j_1+2b_1}, \mathbf{s}_1, \mathbf{v}). \end{aligned}$$

(2). $1 \in I$. If $\rho_K \circ \kappa_{I\setminus\{1\}}(\tilde{\mathbf{s}}) = (1+2a_1, 2b_1, c_1, \overline{d}, \mathbf{v})$ for some $\mathbf{v}$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_K \circ \kappa_I(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}) =&(\overline{2b_1+c_1+d}, \mathbf{v}),\\ \rho_{K\cup\{1\}} \circ \kappa_I(\tilde{\mathbf{s}}) =&(\overline{1+2a_1+2b_1+c_1+d}, \mathbf{v}),\\ \rho_K \circ \kappa_I(\tilde{\mathbf{s}}) =&(1+2a_1, \overline{2b_1+c_1+d}, \mathbf{v}). \end{aligned}$$

(3). $1 \in I$. Suppose $\rho_K \circ \kappa_{I\setminus\{1\}}(\tilde{\mathbf{s}}) = (1+2a_1, 2b_1, c_1, \mathbf{w})$ for some $\mathbf{w} = (d, \mathbf{v})$ with $d > 0$, or for $\mathbf{w} = \emptyset$ (set $d = 0$ and $\mathbf{v} = \emptyset$ if this happens). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_K \circ \kappa_I(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}) =&(2b_1+c_1+d, \mathbf{v}),\\ \rho_{K\cup\{1\}} \circ \kappa_I(\tilde{\mathbf{s}}) =&(1+2a_1+2b_1+c_1+d, \mathbf{v}),\\ \rho_K \circ \kappa_I(\tilde{\mathbf{s}}) =&(1+2a_1, 2b_1+c_1+d, \mathbf{v}). \end{aligned}$$

Set $q = j_1 + 2b_1$ in case (1) and $q = 2b_1 + c_1 + d$ in case (2). It is easy to prove that

$$2\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{kH_{k-1}(\overline{q}, \mathbf{w})\binom{n}{k}}{\binom{n+k}{k}} = n\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{H_{k-1}(\mathbf{w})(-1)^k\binom{n}{k}}{k^q\binom{n+k}{k}} - \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{H_{k-1}(\mathbf{w})(-1)^k\binom{n}{k}}{k^{q-1}\binom{n+k}{k}}$$

by (11) of Lemma 3.1. In case (3) by setting $q = 2b_1 + c_1 + d$ we have

$$2\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{kH_{k-1}(q, \mathbf{w})\binom{n}{k}}{\binom{n+k}{k}} = n\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{H_{k-1}(\mathbf{w})\binom{n}{k}}{k^q\binom{n+k}{k}} - \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{H_{k-1}(\mathbf{w})\binom{n}{k}}{k^{q-1}\binom{n+k}{k}}$$

by (9) of Lemma 3.1. This proves (17) for case $(\mathbf{2}\text{-}1\text{-}\underline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}c\text{-}\mathbf{2}\text{-}1})$ when $r+n=N+1$.

We have completed the proof of Theorem 5.3. ☐

When $r=1$ we get the following: for all $n\in\mathbb{N}$ and $a,b\in\mathbb{N}_0$

$$H^\star_n(\{2\}^b,3,\{2\}^a,1)=2\sum_{k=1}^n\frac{\binom{n}{k}}{k^{4+2(b+a)}\binom{n+k}{k}}+4\sum_{k=1}^n\frac{(-1)^kH_{k-1}(\overline{2+2a})\binom{n}{k}}{k^{2+2b}\binom{n+k}{k}},\tag{22}$$

in case $(\underline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}c\text{-}\mathbf{2}\text{-}1})$, and in case $(\mathbf{2}\text{-}1\text{-}\underline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}c\text{-}\mathbf{2}\text{-}1})$

$$\begin{aligned}&H^\star_n(\{2\}^{a_1},1,\{2\}^b,3,\{2\}^{a_2},1)\qquad(23)\\&=2\sum_{k=1}^n\frac{\binom{n}{k}}{k^{5+2(a_1+b+a_2)}\binom{n+k}{k}}+4\sum_{k=1}^n\frac{H_{k-1}(\overline{4+2b+2a_2})\binom{n}{k}}{k^{1+2a_1}\binom{n+k}{k}}\\&+4\sum_{k=1}^n\frac{(-1)^kH_{k-1}(\overline{2a_2+2})\binom{n}{k}}{k^{3+2a_1+2b}\binom{n+k}{k}}+8\sum_{k=1}^n\frac{H_{k-1}(\overline{2+2b},\overline{2+2a_2})\binom{n}{k}}{k^{1+2a_1}\binom{n+k}{k}}.\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 5.5. *Let $r\in\mathbb{N}$ and $a_j,b_j,c_j-2\in\mathbb{N}_0$ for all $j\ge1$. Consider the following two possible types of compositions:*

$$\begin{aligned}(\underline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}c\text{-}\mathbf{2}\text{-}1}).\mathbf{s}&=(\{2\}^{b_1},c_1,\{2\}^{a_1},1,\dots,\{2\}^{b_r},c_r,\{2\}^{a_r},1),\\(\mathbf{2}\text{-}1\text{-}\underline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}c\text{-}\mathbf{2}\text{-}1}).\ \mathbf{s}&=(\{2\}^{a_1},1,\{2\}^{b_1},c_1,\dots,\{2\}^{a_r},1,\{2\}^{b_r},c_r,\{2\}^{a_{r+1}},1).\end{aligned}$$

In each case we set $\tilde{\mathbf{s}}$ as in Definition 5.1. Then

$$\zeta^\star(\mathbf{s})=2\sum_{J\subseteq[r-1]^*}\sum_{I\subseteq[r]}\sum_{\substack{i_\alpha+j_\alpha+|\mathbf{s}_\alpha|=c_\alpha,\\ i_\alpha\ge1,j_\alpha\ge2\ \forall\alpha\notin I}}2^{|\bar I|+|\bar J|+\sum_{\alpha\notin I}l(\mathbf{s}_\alpha)}\zeta\big(\rho_J\circ\kappa_I(\tilde{\mathbf{s}})\big),\tag{24}$$

where $[r-1]^*=[r-1]$ in case $(\underline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}c\text{-}\mathbf{2}\text{-}1})$ and $[r-1]^*=[r]$ in case $(\mathbf{2}\text{-}1\text{-}\underline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}c\text{-}\mathbf{2}\text{-}1})$.

Proof. This follows from Theorem 5.3 and Lemma 4.1 easily. ☐

For example, by (22) and (23) we see that

$$\zeta^\star(\{2\}^b,3,\{2\}^a,1)=2\zeta(4+2a+2b)+4\zeta(\overline{2b+2},\overline{2+2a}),\tag{25}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta^\star(\{2\}^{a_1},1,\{2\}^b,3,\{2\}^{a_2},1)=&\,2\zeta(2(a_1+b+a_2)+5)+4\zeta(1+2a_1,\overline{4+2b+2a_2})\\&+4\zeta(\overline{3+2a_1+2b},\overline{2+2a_2})+8\zeta(1+2a_1,\overline{2+2b},\overline{2+2a_2}).\end{aligned}$$

6. MHS AND MZSV IDENTITIES: $\underline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}c\text{-}\mathbf{2}\text{-}1}\text{-}\mathbf{2}$ AND $\mathbf{2}\text{-}1\text{-}\underline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}c\text{-}\mathbf{2}\text{-}1}\text{-}\mathbf{2}$ FORMULA

We continue to study MHS identities involving arguments of $(\{2\}^a,1)$-type alternating with those of $(\{2\}^b,c)$-type $(c\ge2)$. Now we consider strings ending with $(\{2\}^a,1)$-type trailed by a non-empty substring of 2's at the very end.

Theorem 6.1. *Let* $t, r \in \mathbb{N}$ *and* $a_j, b_j, c_j - 2 \in \mathbb{N}_0$ *for all* $j \geq 1$. *Consider the following two possible types of compositions:*

$$(\underline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}c\text{-}\mathbf{2}\text{-}1}\text{-}\mathbf{2}).\ \mathbf{s} = (\{2\}^{b_1}, c_1, \{2\}^{a_1}, 1, \dots, \{2\}^{b_r}, c_r, \{2\}^{a_r}, 1, \{2\}^t),$$

$$(\mathbf{2}\text{-}1\text{-}\underline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}c\text{-}\mathbf{2}\text{-}1}\text{-}\mathbf{2}).\ \mathbf{s} = (\{2\}^{a_1}, 1, \{2\}^{b_1}, c_1, \dots, \{2\}^{a_r}, 1, \{2\}^{b_r}, c_r, \{2\}^{a_{r+1}}, 1, \{2\}^t).$$

In each case we set $\tilde{\mathbf{s}}$ *as in Definition* 5.1. *Then*

$$\begin{aligned} H_n^\star(\mathbf{s}) = -2 \sum_{J \subseteq [r-1]^*} \sum_{I \subseteq [r]} \sum_{\substack{i_\alpha + j_\alpha + |\mathbf{s}_\alpha| = c_\alpha, \\ i_\alpha \geq 1, j_\alpha \geq 2\ \forall \alpha \notin I}} & 2^{|\bar{I}| + |\bar{J}| + \sum_{\alpha \notin I} l(\mathbf{s}_\alpha)} \\ & \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{n} \operatorname{sgn}\big(\varphi \circ \nu \circ \rho_J \circ \kappa_I(\tilde{\mathbf{s}})\big)^k \cdot \frac{H_{k-1}\big(\operatorname{Tr} \circ \nu \circ \rho_J \circ \kappa_I(\tilde{\mathbf{s}})\big)\binom{n}{k}}{k^{|\varphi \circ \nu \circ \rho_J \circ \kappa_I(\tilde{\mathbf{s}})|}\binom{n+k}{k}}, \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

where $[r-1]^* = [r-1]$ *in case* $(\underline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}c\text{-}\mathbf{2}\text{-}1}\text{-}\mathbf{2})$ *and* $[r-1]^* = [r]$ *in case* $(\mathbf{2}\text{-}1\text{-}\underline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}c\text{-}\mathbf{2}\text{-}1}\text{-}\mathbf{2})$. *Further, we have* $\varphi \circ \nu = \varphi$ *unless* $J = [r]$ *when the sign is always* $(-1)^k$ *in the sum.*

Proof. The proof is very similar to the proofs of Theorem 5.3 and Theorem 9.1 which are both given in details. Thus we leave it to the interested reader. □

By taking $n \to \infty$ and using Lemma 4.1 we get immediately the following results.

Theorem 6.2. *Let* $t, r \in \mathbb{N}$ *and* $a_j, b_j, c_j - 2 \in \mathbb{N}_0$ *for all* $j \geq 1$. *Consider the following two possible types of compositions:*

$$(\underline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}c\text{-}\mathbf{2}\text{-}1}\text{-}\mathbf{2}).\ \mathbf{s} = (\{2\}^{b_1}, c_1, \{2\}^{a_1}, 1, \dots, \{2\}^{b_r}, c_r, \{2\}^{a_r}, 1, \{2\}^t),$$

$$(\mathbf{2}\text{-}1\text{-}\underline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}c\text{-}\mathbf{2}\text{-}1}\text{-}\mathbf{2}).\ \mathbf{s} = (\{2\}^{a_1}, 1, \{2\}^{b_1}, c_1, \dots, \{2\}^{a_r}, 1, \{2\}^{b_r}, c_r, \{2\}^{a_{r+1}}, 1, \{2\}^t).$$

In each case we set $\tilde{\mathbf{s}}$ *as in Definition* 5.1. *Then*

$$\zeta^\star(\mathbf{s}) = -2 \sum_{J \subseteq [r-1]^*} \sum_{I \subseteq [r]} \sum_{\substack{i_\alpha + j_\alpha + |\mathbf{s}_\alpha| = c_\alpha, \\ i_\alpha \geq 1, j_\alpha \geq 2\ \forall \alpha \notin I}} 2^{|\bar{I}| + |\bar{J}| + \sum_{\alpha \notin I} l(\mathbf{s}_\alpha)} \zeta\big(\nu \circ \rho_J \circ \kappa_I(\tilde{\mathbf{s}})\big), \tag{27}$$

where $[r-1]^* = [r-1]$ *in case* $(\underline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}c\text{-}\mathbf{2}\text{-}1}\text{-}\mathbf{2})$ *and* $[r-1]^* = [r]$ *in case* $(\mathbf{2}\text{-}1\text{-}\underline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}c\text{-}\mathbf{2}\text{-}1}\text{-}\mathbf{2})$.

For example, taking $r = 1$ and $c_1 = 3$ we get in case $(\underline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}c\text{-}\mathbf{2}\text{-}1}\text{-}\mathbf{2})$

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta^\star(\{2\}^b, 3, \{2\}^a, 1, \{2\}^t) = & -2\zeta(\overline{4 + 2b + 2a + 2t}) - 4\zeta(4 + 2b + 2a, \overline{2t}) \\ & -4\zeta(\overline{2 + 2b}, 2 + 2a + 2t) - 8\zeta(\overline{2 + 2b}, \overline{2 + 2a}, \overline{2t}), \end{aligned}$$

and in case $(\mathbf{2}\text{-}1\text{-}\underline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}c\text{-}\mathbf{2}\text{-}1}\text{-}\mathbf{2})$

$$\begin{aligned} & \zeta^\star(\{2\}^{a_1}, 1, \{2\}^b, 3, \{2\}^{a_2}, 1, \{2\}^t) \\ = & -2\zeta(\overline{5 + 2a_1 + 2b + 2a_2 + 2t}) - 4\zeta(5 + 2a_1 + 2b + 2a_2, \overline{2t}) \\ & - 4\zeta(\overline{3 + 2a_1 + 2b}, 2 + 2a_2 + 2t) - 8\zeta(\overline{3 + 2a_1 + 2b}, \overline{2 + 2a_2}, \overline{2t}) \\ & - 4\zeta(1 + 2a_1, \overline{4 + 2b + 2a_2 + 2t}) - 8\zeta(1 + 2a_1, 4 + 2b + 2a_2, \overline{2t}) \\ & - 8\zeta(1 + 2a_1, \overline{2 + 2b}, 2 + 2a_2 + 2t) - 16\zeta(1 + 2a_1, \overline{2 + 2b}, \overline{2 + 2a_2}, \overline{2t}). \end{aligned}$$

We have verified these formulas numerically for $a_1, a_2, a, b, t \le 2$ using EZ-face [3].

7. Conjectures of Imatomi et al. on MZSV of type $\underline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}3\text{-}\mathbf{2}\text{-}1}$ and $\underline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}3\text{-}\mathbf{2}\text{-}1}\text{-}\mathbf{2}\text{-}1$

Throughout this section the notations of §5 and §6 are still in force. The following Theorem 7.2 was first conjectured by Imatomi et al. [12, Conjectures 4.1 and 4.3]. Special cases have been proved in [12, Theorem 1.1] and by Tasaka and Yamamoto in [20]. Yamamoto proves a more precise version in [22]. We now give a different proof using the identities we have found in the last two sections. We begin with a lemma first.

Lemma 7.1. *Let $n_1, \dots, n_\ell \in \mathbb{Z}^*$ be ℓ even integers and $m = |n_1| + \cdots + |n_\ell|$. Then*

$$\sum_{g\in\mathfrak{S}_\ell} \zeta\big(n_{g(1)}, \dots, n_{g(\ell)}\big) =$$

$$\sum_{e_1+\cdots+e_p=\ell} (-1)^{\ell-p} \prod_{s=1}^{p} (e_s-1)! \sum \zeta\left(\bigoplus_{k\in\pi_1} n_k\right) \dots \zeta\left(\bigoplus_{k\in\pi_p} n_k\right) \in \mathbb{Q}\pi^m$$

where the sum in the right is taken over all the possible unordered partitions of the set $\{1, \dots, \ell\}$ into p subsets $\pi_1, \dots, \pi_p$ with $e_1, \dots, e_p$ elements respectively.

Proof. When all the arguments $n_1, \dots, n_\ell$ are positive the lemma becomes [9, Theorem 2.2]. Its proof there can be used here almost word for word. Notice that [9, Theorem 2.2] is re-proved as [14, Proposition 9.4] whose proof is different from that of [9] but also works here. Thus we leave the details to the interested reader. ☐

Theorem 7.2. *Let r be a positive integer, and $e_1, \dots, e_{2r+1}$ nonnegative integers.*

(i) *Put $m = e_1 + \cdots + e_{2r}$. Then we have*

$$\sum_{\tau\in\mathfrak{S}_{2r}} \zeta^\star(\{2\}^{e_{\tau(1)}}, 3, \{2\}^{e_{\tau(2)}}, 1, \{2\}^{e_{\tau(3)}}, \dots, 3, \{2\}^{e_{\tau(2r)}}, 1) \in \mathbb{Q}\cdot\pi^{2m+4r}.$$

(ii) *Put $m = e_1 + \cdots + e_{2r+1}$. Then we have*

$$\sum_{\tau\in\mathfrak{S}_{2r+1}} \zeta^\star(\{2\}^{e_{\tau(1)}}, 3, \{2\}^{e_{\tau(2)}}, 1, \dots, 3, \{2\}^{e_{\tau(2n)}}, 1, \{2\}^{e_{\tau(2r+1)}+1}) \in \mathbb{Q}\cdot\pi^{2m+4r+2}.$$

Proof. We start with (i) first. When $r = 1$ this follows quickly from (25) by stuffle relation. For general r let $a_j = e_{2j}$ and $b_j = e_{2j-1}$ for all $j \le r$ and let $A_j = 2 + 2e_j$ for all $j \le 2r$. Then we can apply (24) of Theorem 5.5 to the string $\mathbf{s} = (\{2\}^{b_1}, 3, \{2\}^{a_1}, 1, \dots, \{2\}^{b_r}, 3, \{2\}^{a_r}, 1)$ and get

$$\zeta^\star(\mathbf{s}) = 2\sum_{\tau\in\mathfrak{S}_{2r}} \tau\left\{ \sum_{J\subseteq[r-1]} \sum_{I\subseteq[r]} \sum_{\substack{i_\alpha+j_\alpha+|\mathbf{s}_\alpha|=3,\\ i_\alpha\ge1, j_\alpha\ge2\ \forall\alpha\notin I}} 2^{|\bar{I}|+|\bar{J}|+\sum_{\alpha\notin I} l(\mathbf{s}_\alpha)} \zeta\big(\rho_J\circ\kappa_I(\tilde{\mathbf{s}})\big) \right\}$$

Here τ acts on the subscripts of e_j's, or, equivalently permutes all the A_j's for $j \le 2r$. Clearly σ_α changes the symbols "$2b_\alpha, 3, 1+2a_\alpha$" to "$\overline{2+2b_\alpha}, \overline{2+2a_\alpha}$" and μ_α changes the symbols "$2b_\alpha, 3, 1+2a_\alpha$" to "$2b_\alpha + 4 + 2a_\alpha$". So we may write

$$\zeta^\star(\mathbf{s}) = \sum_{\tau\in\mathfrak{S}_{2r}} \tau \left\{ \sum_{\mathbf{p}} 2^{\ell(\mathbf{p})} \zeta(\mathbf{p}) \right\},$$

where $\mathbf{p}$ runs through all indices of the form $(\overline{A_1}\diamond\cdots\diamond\overline{A_{2r}})$ with "$\diamond$" being either the symbol "," or the sign "$\oplus$", and $\ell(\mathbf{p})$ denotes the length of $\mathbf{p}$ by Remark 5.4. Denote now $\mathbf{A} = (\overline{A_1}, \dots, \overline{A_{2r}})$ and $P_\ell(2r)$ the set of all partition of $[2r]$ into ℓ consecutive subsets. If $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\ell) \in P_\ell(2r)$ then we set $\lambda_j(\mathbf{A}) = (\overline{A_i})_{i\in\lambda_j}$ so that the concatenation $\bigsqcup_{j=1}^{\ell} \lambda_j(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{A}$. Because of the permutation we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\zeta^\star(\mathbf{s}) &= \sum_{\tau\in\mathfrak{S}_{2r}} \tau \left\{ \sum_{\ell=1}^{2r} 2^\ell \sum_{\lambda\in P_\ell(2r)} \zeta\big(\oplus\lambda_1(\mathbf{A}), \dots, \oplus\lambda_\ell(\mathbf{A})\big) \right\} \\
&= \sum_{\tau\in\mathfrak{S}_{2r}} \tau \left\{ \sum_{\ell=1}^{2r} \frac{2^\ell}{\ell!} \sum_{\lambda\in P_\ell(2r)} \sum_{g\in\mathfrak{S}_\ell} \zeta\big(\oplus\lambda_{g(1)}(\mathbf{A}), \dots, \oplus\lambda_{g(\ell)}(\mathbf{A})\big) \right\},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\oplus\mathbf{t}$ is the $\oplus$-sum of all the components of $\mathbf{t}$ for any composition $\mathbf{t}$. Now Part(i) of Theorem 7.2 follows readily from the Lemma 7.1 since all A_j's are even numbers.

Part (ii) follows from Theorem 6.2 in a similar fashion so we leave the details to the interested reader. □

Remark 7.3. We notice that in [22, Theorem 1.1] Yamamoto obtains a more precise formula by using partial sums and generating functions:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\substack{e_0,e_1,\dots,e_{2r}\ge 0\\ e_0+e_1+\cdots+e_{2r}=m}} \zeta^\star(\{2\}^{e_0}, 3, \{2\}^{e_1}, 1, \{2\}^{e_2}, 3, \dots, 3, \{2\}^{e_{2r-1}}, 1, \{2\}^{e_{2r}}) \\
&\qquad = \sum_{\substack{2i+k+u=2r\\ j+l+v=m}} (-1)^{j+k} \binom{k+l}{k} \binom{u+v}{u} \binom{2i+j}{j} \frac{\beta_{k+l}\beta_{u+v}\pi^{4r+2m}}{(2i+1)(4i+2j+1)!}, \qquad (28)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\beta_n = (-1)^n(2-2^{2n})B_{2n}/(2n)!$. It is possible to modify our proof of Theorem 7.2 to give this more quantified version.

8. More Conjetures of Imatomi et al.

The following results were first conjectured by Imatomi et al. [12, Conjecture 4.5].

Theorem 8.1. *Let m and n be two nonnegative integers.*

(i) *We have*

$$\zeta^\star(\{2\}^n, 3, \{2\}^m, 1) + \zeta^\star(\{2\}^m, 3, \{2\}^n, 1) = \zeta^\star(\{2\}^{n+1})\zeta^\star(\{2\}^{m+1}).$$

(ii) *We have*

$$(2n+1)\zeta^\star(\{3,1\}^n,2)=\sum_{j+k=n}\zeta^\star(\{3,1\}^j)\zeta^\star(\{2\}^{2k+1}).$$

(iii) *If* $n\ge 1$ *then we have*

$$\sum_{\substack{e_1+e_2+\cdots+e_{2n}=1\\ e_1,e_2,\dots,e_{2n}\ge 0}}\zeta^\star(\{2\}^{e_1},3,\{2\}^{e_2},1,\dots,\{2\}^{e_{2n-1}},3,\{2\}^{e_{2n}},1)$$
$$=\sum_{j+k=n-1}\zeta^\star(\{3,1\}^j,2)\zeta^\star(\{2\}^{2k+2}).$$

Proof. $\underline{\text{(i)}}$. This follows immediately from (4) and (25). This is also proved by Ohno and Zudilin [18].

$\underline{\text{(ii)}}$. We notice that by taking $a_i=b_i=0$ and $c_i=3$ for all $i\le r=j$ in Theorem 5.3($\underline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}c\text{-}\mathbf{2}\text{-}1}$) we get

$$\zeta^\star(\{3,1\}^j)=\sum_{\mathbf{p}_{2j}}2^{\ell(\mathbf{p}_{2j})}\zeta(\mathbf{p}_{2j}).$$

Here $\mathbf{p}_\ell$ runs through all indices of the form $\bar{2}^{\diamond\ell}=(\underbrace{\bar{2}\diamond\cdots\diamond\bar{2}}_{\ell\text{-times}})$ with "$\diamond$" being either the symbol "," or the sign "$\oplus$". All of the components a_j of $\bar{2}^{\diamond\ell}$ must satisfy the following sign rule:

$$a_j>0 \text{ if and only if } 4|a_j. \tag{29}$$

On the other hand, by Theorem 6.1($\underline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}c\text{-}\mathbf{2}\text{-}1}\text{-}\mathbf{2}$) we have

$$\zeta^\star(\{3,1\}^n,2)=\sum_{\mathbf{p}_{2n+1}}2^{\ell(\mathbf{p}_{2n+1})}\zeta(\mathbf{p}_{2n+1}).$$

Hence by (4) we need to show that

$$\sum_{\mathbf{p}_{2n+1}}2^{\ell(\mathbf{p}_{2n+1})}\zeta(\mathbf{p}_{2n+1})=\sum_{j=0}^{n}\sum_{\mathbf{p}_{2j}}2^{\ell(\mathbf{p}_{2j})}\zeta(\mathbf{p}_{2j})\cdot 2\zeta(\overline{4(n-j)+2}). \tag{30}$$

Suppose an index in $\mathbf{p}_{2j}$ has length $t+1$ $(0\le t\le 2n)$ given as

$$(a_1,\dots,a_{t+1}),\quad a_i\in\mathbb{Z}^*\ \forall i=1,\dots,t+1.$$

We now show that there are exactly $2^t(2n+1)$ copies of such term produced by stuffle product on the right hand side of (30). Indeed, for each $i=1,\dots,t+1$ the entry a_i has two possibilities:

(1). $a_i=4b_i>0$. Then for each $k=1,\dots,b_i$ we may produce such a term on the right hand side of (30) by stuffing

$$2^t\zeta(a_1,\dots,a_{i-1},\overline{4k-2},a_{i+1},\dots,a_{t+1})$$

from $\mathbf{p}_{2j}$ having length $t+1$ with the term $2\zeta(\overline{4(b_i-k)+2})$ at the right end of (30). Notice no shuffle is possible since $4(n-j)+2$ is not a multiple of 4. Hence these contribute to $2^{t+1}b_i=2^{t-1}a_i$ copies of $\zeta(a_1,\dots,a_{t+1})$.

(2). $a_i = \overline{4b_i+2}$. Then for each $k=1,\dots,b_i$ we may produce such a term on the right hand side of (30) by stuffing

$$2^t\zeta(a_1,\dots,a_{i-1},\overline{4k},a_{i+1},\dots,a_{t+1})$$

from $\mathbf{p}_{2j}$ having length $t+1$ with the term $2\zeta(\overline{4(b_i-k)+2})$ at the right end of (30). Further, there is exactly one possible shuffle given by

$$2^{t-1}\zeta(a_1,\dots,a_{i-1},a_{i+1},\dots,a_{t+1}) \sqcup\!\sqcup \big\{2\zeta(\overline{4b_i+2})\big\},$$

since the index $(a_1,\dots,a_{i-1},a_{i+1},\dots,a_{t+1})$ has only length t. Altogether these produce $2^{t+1}b_i + 2^t = 2^{t-1}|a_i|$ copies of $\zeta(a_1,\dots,a_{t+1})$.

By combining (1) and (2) we see that the right hand side of (30) produces exactly

$$\sum_{i=1}^{t+1} 2^{t-1}|a_i| = 2^{t-1}\cdot|(a_1,\dots,a_{t+1})| = 2^t(2n+1)$$

copies of $\zeta(a_1,\dots,a_{t+1})$ since the weight is $4n+2$. This proves (ii).

(iii). We use the same analysis as above and see that we need to prove the following identity:

$$\sum_{\mathbf{q}_{2n}} 2^{\ell(\mathbf{q}_{2n})}\zeta(\mathbf{q}_{2n}) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\sum_{\mathbf{p}_{2j+1}} 2^{\ell(\mathbf{p}_{2j+1})}\zeta(\mathbf{p}_{2j+1})\cdot 2\zeta(\overline{4(n-j)}), \tag{31}$$

where $\mathbf{q}_{2n}$ runs through all indices of the form $A_1\diamond\cdots\diamond A_{2n}$ with one of the A_j's (say A_{j_0}) equal to $\bar{4}$ and all the other A_j's equal to $\bar{2}$. For each choice of $2^t\zeta(a_1,\dots,a_{t+1})$ with length $t+1$ from the left hand of (31), all but one of the argument components $a_1,\dots,a_{t+1}$ must satisfy the sign rule (29). The only exceptional component, say a_i, must involve a merge with the special entry $A_{j_0}=\bar{4}$. Now there are two possibilities:

(1). $a_i = 4b_i+2>0$. Then for each $k=0,\dots,b_i-1$ we may produce such a term on the right hand side of (31) by stuffing

$$2^t\zeta(a_1,\dots,a_{i-1},\overline{4k+2},a_{i+1},\dots,a_{t+1})$$

from $\mathbf{p}_{2j+1}$ having length $t+1$ with the term $2\zeta(\overline{4(b_i-k)})$ at the right end of (31). Notice no shuffle is possible since $4(n-j)$ is a multiple of 4. Hence these contribute to $2^{t+1}b_i$ copies of $\zeta(a_1,\dots,a_{t+1})$. On the left hand side, such a term must be produced by setting all $2b_i-1$ consecutive $\diamond$'s around $A_{j_0}=\bar{4}$ to $\oplus$:

$$\dots,\underbrace{A_i\oplus A_{i+1}\oplus\cdots\oplus A_{j_0}\oplus\cdots\oplus A_\ell}_{2b_i\text{ entries}},\dots.$$

But A_{j_0} can be at any one of the $2b_i$ possible positions, thus producing $2^{t+1}b_i$ copies of $\zeta(a_1,\dots,a_{t+1})$ which match exactly the right hand side of (31).

(2). $a_i=\overline{4b_i}$. Then for each $k=1,\dots,b_i-1$ we may produce such a term on the right hand side of (31) by stuffing

$$2^t\zeta(a_1,\dots,a_{i-1},4k,a_{i+1},\dots,a_{t+1})$$

from $\mathbf{p}_{2j}$ having length $t+1$ with the term $2\zeta(\overline{4(b_i-k)})$ at the right end of (31). Further, there is exactly one possible shuffle given by

$$2^{t-1}\zeta(a_1,\dots,a_{i-1},a_{i+1},\dots,a_{t+1})\,\text{ш}\,\big\{2\zeta(\overline{4b_i})\big\}.$$

Hence these contribute to $2^{t+1}(b_i-1)+2^t=2^t(2b_i-1)$ copies of $\zeta(a_1,\dots,a_{t+1})$. Similar to (1), on the left hand side, such a term must be produced by setting all $2b_i-2$ consecutive $\diamond$'s around A_{j_0} to $\oplus$. And A_{j_0} can be at any one of the $2b_i-1$ possible positions, thus producing $2^t(2b_i-1)$ copies of $\zeta(a_1,\dots,a_{t+1})$ which match exactly the right hand side of (31).

This concludes the proof of theorem. ☐

Note that Theorem 8.1(i) is the more precise version of the $n=1$ case of Theorem 7.2(i). And Theorem 8.1(iii) can be written more compactly as

$$\zeta^\star\big(\{2\}\,\text{ш}\{3,1\}^n\big)=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\zeta^\star(\{3,1\}^{n-k},2)\zeta^\star(\{2\}^{2k}),$$

which is the more precise version of the $m=1$ case of the following result of Kondo et al. [13]: For all nonnegative integers m and n we have

$$\zeta^\star(\{2\}^m\,\text{ш}\{3,1\}^n)\in\mathbb{Q}\pi^{2m+4n}.$$

The case $m=0$ case has the following precise formulation by Muneta [16]:

$$\zeta^\star\big(\{3,1\}^n\big)=\sum_{i=0}^{n}\left\{\frac{2}{(4i+2)!}\sum_{\substack{n_0+n_1=2(n-i)\\ n_0,n_1\ge 0}}(-1)^{n_1}\frac{(2^{2n_0}-2)B_{2n_0}}{(2n_0)!}\frac{(2^{2n_1}-2)B_{2n_1}}{(2n_1)!}\right\}\pi^{4n}.$$

Muneta also found precise form in case $m=1$. Of course, these are all special cases of Yamamoto's general formula (28).

9. MHS IDENTITIES: $\underline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}1\text{-}\mathbf{2}\text{-}c}\text{-}\mathbf{2}$ FORMULA AND $\mathbf{2}\text{-}c\text{-}\overline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}1\text{-}\mathbf{2}\text{-}c}\text{-}\mathbf{2}$ FORMULA

In this section we continue the theme in the preceding section by deriving more MHS identities involving compositions of $(\{2\}^a,1)$-type alternating with those of $(\{2\}^b,c)$-type ($c\ge 2$). This time we consider strings ending with $(\{2\}^a,c)$-type trailed by $\{2\}^t$ (this tail may be empty). We will then derive the corresponding MZSV identities by applying Lemma 4.1.

Theorem 9.1. *Let $r\in\mathbb{N}$ and $t,a_j,b_j,c_j-2\in\mathbb{N}_0$ for all $j\ge 1$. Consider the following two possible types of compositions:*

$$(\underline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}1\text{-}\mathbf{2}\text{-}c}\text{-}\mathbf{2}).\ \mathbf{s}=(\{2\}^{a_1},1,\{2\}^{b_1},c_1,\dots,\{2\}^{a_r},1,\{2\}^{b_r},c_r,\{2\}^t),$$

$$(\mathbf{2}\text{-}c\text{-}\underline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}1\text{-}\mathbf{2}\text{-}c}\text{-}\mathbf{2}).\ \mathbf{s}=(\{2\}^{b_1},c_1,\{2\}^{a_1},1,\dots,\{2\}^{b_r},c_r,\{2\}^{a_r},1,\{2\}^{b_{r+1}},c_{r+1},\{2\}^t).$$

In each case we set $\tilde{\mathbf{s}}$ *as in Definition* 5.1. *Then*

$$H_n^\star(\mathbf{s}) = -2\sum_{J\subseteq[r]}\sum_{I\subseteq[r]^*}\sum_{\substack{i_\alpha+j_\alpha+|\mathbf{s}_\alpha|=c_\alpha,\\ i_\alpha\ge 1, j_\alpha\ge 2\ \forall\alpha\notin I}} 2^{|\bar{I}|+|\bar{J}|+\sum_{\alpha\notin I} l(\mathbf{s}_\alpha)} \cdot\sum_{k=1}^{n}\operatorname{sgn}\big(\varphi\circ\nu\circ\rho_J\circ\kappa_I(\tilde{\mathbf{s}})\big)^k\cdot\frac{H_{k-1}\big(\operatorname{Tr}\circ\nu\circ\rho_J\circ\kappa_I(\tilde{\mathbf{s}})\big)\binom{n}{k}}{k^{|\varphi\circ\nu\circ\rho_J\circ\kappa_I(\tilde{\mathbf{s}})|}\binom{n+k}{k}}, \tag{32}$$

where $[r]^*=[r]$ *in case* $(\underline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}\mathbf{1}\text{-}\mathbf{2}\text{-}c}\text{-}\mathbf{2})$, $[r]^*=[r+1]$ *in case* $(\mathbf{2}\text{-}c\text{-}\underline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}\mathbf{1}\text{-}\mathbf{2}\text{-}c}\text{-}\mathbf{2})$. *Further, we have* $\varphi\circ\nu=\varphi$ *unless* $J=[r]$ *when the sign is always* $(-1)^k$ *in the sum.*

Proof. As before we proceed by induction on n. When $n=1$ the theorem is clear. Assuming the theorem is true for all $n+r\le N$ where $N\ge 2$. Suppose now we have $n\ge 2$ and $n+r=N+1$.

$(\underline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}\mathbf{1}\text{-}\mathbf{2}\text{-}c}\text{-}\mathbf{2})$. Let $\mathbf{s}=(\{2\}^{a_1},1,\{2\}^{b_1},c_1,\dots,\{2\}^{a_r},1,\{2\}^{b_r},c_r,\{2\}^t)$. By definition

$$\begin{aligned}H_n^\star(\mathbf{s}) =& \sum_{l=0}^{a_1}\frac{1}{n^{2a_1-2l}}H_{n-1}^\star(\{2\}^l,1,\{2\}^{b_1},c_1,\{2\}^{a_2},\dots,\{2\}^{a_r},1,\{2\}^{b_r},c_r,\{2\}^t)\\ &+\frac{1}{n^{2a_1+1}}H_n^\star(\{2\}^{b_1},c_1,\{2\}^{a_2},1,\{2\}^{b_2},c_2,\dots,\{2\}^{a_r},1,\{2\}^{b_r},c_r,\{2\}^t).\end{aligned}$$

By the induction assumption we see that

$$\begin{aligned}H_n^\star(\mathbf{s}) =& -\sum_{l=0}^{a_1}\frac{2}{n^{2a_1-2l}}\sum_{J\subseteq[r]}\sum_{I\subseteq[r]}\sum_{\substack{i_\alpha+j_\alpha+|\mathbf{s}_\alpha|=c_\alpha,\\ i_\alpha\ge 1, j_\alpha\ge 2\ \forall\alpha\notin I}} 2^{|\bar{I}|+|\bar{J}|+\sum_{\alpha\notin I} l(\mathbf{s}_\alpha)}\\ &\cdot\sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\operatorname{sgn}\big(\varphi\circ\nu\circ\rho_J\circ\kappa_I(\tilde{\mathbf{t}}_l)\big)^k\cdot\frac{H_{k-1}\big(\operatorname{Tr}\circ\nu\circ\rho_J\circ\kappa_I(\tilde{\mathbf{t}}_l)\big)\binom{n-1}{k}}{k^{|\varphi\circ\nu\circ\rho_J\circ\kappa_I(\tilde{\mathbf{t}}_l)|}\binom{n-1+k}{k}}\\ &-\frac{2}{n^{2a_1+1}}\sum_{K\subseteq\{2,\dots,r\}}\sum_{I\subseteq[r]}\sum_{\substack{i_\alpha+j_\alpha+|\mathbf{s}_\alpha|=c_\alpha,\\ i_\alpha\ge 1, j_\alpha\ge 2\ \forall\alpha\notin K}} 2^{|\bar{K}|+|\bar{J}|+\sum_{\alpha\notin I} l(\mathbf{s}_\alpha)}\\ &\cdot\sum_{k=1}^{n}\operatorname{sgn}\big(\varphi\circ\nu\circ\rho_K\circ\kappa_I(\tilde{\mathbf{u}})\big)^k\cdot\frac{H_{k-1}\big(\operatorname{Tr}\circ\nu\circ\rho_K\circ\kappa_I(\tilde{\mathbf{u}})\big)\binom{n}{k}}{k^{|\varphi\circ\nu\circ\rho_K\circ\kappa_I(\tilde{\mathbf{u}})|}\binom{n+k}{k}},\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

where $\tilde{\mathbf{t}}_l=(1+2l,2b_1,c_1,1+2a_2,2b_2,\dots,2b_r,c_r,2t)$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}=(2b_1,c_1,1+2a_2,\dots,1+2a_r,2b_r,c_r,2t)$. Notice that one of the summands in $\varphi\circ\nu\circ\rho_J\circ\kappa_I(\tilde{\mathbf{t}}_l)$ is always $2l$. By changing the order of summations and using the identity (14) we see easily that the first quintuple sum in (33) is equal to

$$-\frac{2}{n^{2a_1+2}}\sum_{J\subseteq[r]}\sum_{I\subseteq[r]}\sum_{\substack{i_\alpha+j_\alpha+|\mathbf{s}_\alpha|=c_\alpha,\\ i_\alpha\ge 1, j_\alpha\ge 2\ \forall\alpha\notin I}} 2^{|\bar{I}|+|\bar{J}|+\sum_{\alpha\notin I} l(\mathbf{s}_\alpha)} \cdot\sum_{k=1}^{n}\operatorname{sgn}\big(\varphi\circ\nu\circ\rho_J\circ\kappa_I(\tilde{\mathbf{s}})\big)^k\cdot\frac{H_{k-1}\big(\operatorname{Tr}\circ\nu\circ\rho_J\circ\kappa_I(\tilde{\mathbf{s}})\big)\binom{n}{k}}{k^{|\varphi\circ\nu\circ\rho_J\circ\kappa_I(\tilde{\mathbf{s}})|}\binom{n+k}{k}}(n^{2a_1+2}-k^{2a_1+2})$$

since $\mathrm{Tr}\circ\nu\circ\rho_J\circ\kappa_I(\tilde{\mathbf{t}}_l)=\mathrm{Tr}\circ\nu\circ\rho_J\circ\kappa_I(\tilde{\mathbf{s}})$ for all l. Observe that we have the partition $\bigcup\{J\subseteq[r]\}=\bigcup_{K\subseteq\{2,\dots,r\}}\{K,\{1\}\cup K\}$. Now we consider two cases:

(1). If either $\rho_K\circ\kappa_I(\tilde{\mathbf{u}})=(\overline{d},\mathbf{w})$ for some $d\in\mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbf{w}\neq\emptyset$, or $\rho_K\circ\kappa_I(\tilde{\mathbf{u}})=(d)$, then there exists $\mathbf{v}$ (with $\mathbf{v}=\emptyset$ if $\rho_K\circ\kappa_I(\tilde{\mathbf{u}})=(d)$) such that

$$\begin{aligned}\nu\circ\rho_K\circ\kappa_I(\tilde{\mathbf{u}})=&(\overline{d},\mathbf{v}),\\ \nu\circ\rho_{K\cup\{1\}}\circ\kappa_I(\tilde{\mathbf{s}})=&(\overline{1+2a_1+d},\mathbf{v}),\\ \nu\circ\rho_K\circ\kappa_I(\tilde{\mathbf{s}})=&(1+2a_1,\overline{d},\mathbf{v}).\end{aligned}$$

(2). If either $\rho_K\circ\kappa_I(\tilde{\mathbf{u}})=(d,\mathbf{w})$ for some $d\in\mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbf{w}\neq\emptyset$, or $\rho_K\circ\kappa_I(\tilde{\mathbf{u}})=(\overline{d})$, then there exists $\mathbf{v}$ (with $\mathbf{v}=\emptyset$ if $\rho_K\circ\kappa_I(\tilde{\mathbf{u}})=(\overline{d})$) such that

$$\begin{aligned}\nu\circ\rho_K\circ\kappa_I(\tilde{\mathbf{u}})=&(d,\mathbf{v}),\\ \nu\circ\rho_{K\cup\{1\}}\circ\kappa_I=&(1+2a_1+d,\mathbf{v}),\\ \nu\circ\rho_K\circ\kappa_I(\tilde{\mathbf{s}})=&(1+2a_1,d,\mathbf{v}).\end{aligned}$$

For each fixed $K\subseteq\{2,\dots,r\}$ and $I\subseteq[r]$ it suffices to prove that in case (1)

$$2\sum_{k=1}^{n}\frac{kH_{k-1}(\overline{d},\mathbf{v})\binom{n}{k}}{\binom{n+k}{k}}=n\sum_{k=1}^{n}\frac{H_{k-1}(\mathbf{v})(-1)^k\binom{n}{k}}{k^d\binom{n+k}{k}}-\sum_{k=1}^{n}\frac{H_{k-1}(\mathbf{v})(-1)^k\binom{n}{k}}{k^{d-1}\binom{n+k}{k}},$$

and in case (2)

$$2\sum_{k=1}^{n}\frac{kH_{k-1}(d,\mathbf{v})\binom{n}{k}}{\binom{n+k}{k}}=n\sum_{k=1}^{n}\frac{H_{k-1}(\mathbf{v})\binom{n}{k}}{k^d\binom{n+k}{k}}-\sum_{k=1}^{n}\frac{H_{k-1}(\mathbf{v})\binom{n}{k}}{k^{d-1}\binom{n+k}{k}}.$$

Both of these follow from (12). This proves (32) for case ($\underline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}1\text{-}\mathbf{2}\text{-}c}\text{-}\mathbf{2}$) when $r+n=N+1$.

($\mathbf{2}\text{-}c\text{-}\underline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}1\text{-}\mathbf{2}\text{-}c}\text{-}\mathbf{2}$) . Let

$$\mathbf{s}=(\{2\}^{b_1},c_1,\{2\}^{a_1},1,\dots,\{2\}^{b_r},c_r,\{2\}^{a_r},1,\{2\}^{b_{r+1}},c_{r+1},\{2\}^t).$$

By definition

$$\begin{aligned}H_n^\star(\mathbf{s})=&\sum_{l=0}^{a_1}\frac{1}{n^{2b_1-2l}}H_{n-1}^\star(\{2\}^l,c_1,\{2\}^{a_1},1,\dots,\{2\}^{b_r},c_r,\{2\}^{a_r},1,\{2\}^{b_{r+1}},c_{r+1},\{2\}^t)\\ &+\frac{1}{n^{2b_1+c_1}}H_n^\star(\{2\}^{a_1},1,\dots,\{2\}^{b_r},c_r,\{2\}^{a_r},1,\{2\}^{b_{r+1}},c_{r+1},\{2\}^t).\end{aligned}$$

By the induction assumption and the fact that we have already proved the theorem for case ($\underline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}1\text{-}\mathbf{2}\text{-}c}\text{-}\mathbf{2}$) with $r+n=N+1$ we see that

$$\begin{aligned}H_n^\star(\mathbf{s})=-\sum_{l=0}^{a_1}\frac{2}{n^{2b_1-2l}}\sum_{I\subseteq[r+1]}\sum_{J\subseteq[r]}\sum_{\substack{i_\alpha+j_\alpha+|\mathbf{s}_\alpha|=c_\alpha,\\ i_\alpha\ge1,j_\alpha\ge2\ \forall\alpha\notin I}}&2^{|\bar{I}|+|\bar{J}|+\sum_{\alpha\notin I}l(\mathbf{s}_\alpha)}\\ \cdot\sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\operatorname{sgn}\big(\varphi\circ\nu\circ\rho_J\circ\kappa_I(\tilde{\mathbf{t}}_l)\big)^k\cdot&\frac{H_{k-1}\big(\mathrm{Tr}\circ\nu\circ\rho_J\circ\kappa_I(\tilde{\mathbf{t}}_l)\big)\binom{n-1}{k}}{k^{|\varphi\circ\nu\circ\rho_J\circ\kappa_I(\tilde{\mathbf{t}}_l)|}\binom{n-1+k}{k}}\end{aligned}\qquad(34)$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\frac{2}{n^{2b_1+c_1}} \sum_{K\subseteq\{2,\dots,r+1\}} \sum_{J\subseteq[r]} \sum_{\substack{i_\alpha+j_\alpha+|\mathbf{s}_\alpha|=c_\alpha,\\ i_\alpha\geq 1, j_\alpha\geq 2\ \forall\alpha\notin K}} & 2^{|\bar{I}|+|\bar{K}|+\sum_{\alpha\notin K} l(\mathbf{s}_\alpha)} \\
& \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{n} \operatorname{sgn}\big(\varphi\circ\nu\circ\rho_J\circ\kappa_K(\tilde{\mathbf{u}})\big)^k \cdot \frac{H_{k-1}\big(\operatorname{Tr}\circ\nu\circ\rho_J\circ\kappa_K(\tilde{\mathbf{u}})\big)\binom{n}{k}}{k^{|\varphi\circ\nu\circ\rho_J\circ\kappa_K(\tilde{\mathbf{u}})|}\binom{n+k}{k}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\tilde{\mathbf{t}}_l=(2l,c_1,1+2a_1,2b_2,c_2,\dots,1+2a_r,2b_{r+1},c_{r+1},2t)$ (i.e., $\tilde{\mathbf{t}}_l$ is just $\tilde{\mathbf{s}}$ with the first component replaced by $2l$) and $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}=(1+2a_1,2b_2,c_2,\dots,1+2a_r,2b_{r+1},c_{r+1},2t)$. Since one of the summand in $\varphi\circ\nu\circ\rho_J\circ\kappa_I(\tilde{\mathbf{t}}_l)$ is always $2l$ by changing the order of summations and using the identity (14) we see that the first quintuple sum in (34) is equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\frac{2}{n^{2b_1+2}} \sum_{J\subseteq[r]} \sum_{I\subseteq[r]} \sum_{\substack{i_\alpha+j_\alpha+|\mathbf{s}_\alpha|=c_\alpha,\\ i_\alpha\geq 1, j_\alpha\geq 2\ \forall\alpha\notin I}} & 2^{|\bar{I}|+|\bar{J}|+\sum_{\alpha\notin I} l(\mathbf{s}_\alpha)} \\
& \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{n} \operatorname{sgn}\big(\varphi\circ\nu\circ\rho_J\circ\kappa_I(\tilde{\mathbf{s}})\big)^k \cdot \frac{H_{k-1}\big(\operatorname{Tr}\circ\nu\circ\rho_J\circ\kappa_I(\tilde{\mathbf{s}})\big)\binom{n}{k}}{k^{|\varphi\circ\nu\circ\rho_J\circ\kappa_I(\tilde{\mathbf{s}})|}\binom{n+k}{k}}(n^{2b_1+2}-k^{2b_1+2}).
\end{aligned}
$$

Observe that we have the partition $\bigcup\{I\subseteq[r+1]\}=\bigcup_{K\subseteq\{2,\dots,r+1\}}\{K,\{1\}\cup K\}$. Moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\kappa_K(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}) =&(1+2a_1,\mathbf{v}), & |\{2,\dots,r\}\setminus K| =&r-1-|K|,\\
\kappa_{K\cup\{1\}}(\tilde{\mathbf{s}}) =&(2b_1+c_1+1+2a_1,\mathbf{v}), & |\{2,\dots,r\}\setminus K| =&r-1-|K|,\\
\kappa_K(\tilde{\mathbf{s}}) =&(\overline{j_1+2b_1},\mathbf{s}_1,\overline{i_1+1+2a_1},\mathbf{v}), & |\{1,\dots,r\}\setminus K| =&r-|K|,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathbf{v}$ in the above three expressions are exactly the same. Now we consider two cases:

(1). If $\rho_J\circ\kappa_K(\tilde{\mathbf{u}})=(\overline{d},\mathbf{w})$ for some $d\in\mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbf{w}\neq\emptyset$, or $\rho_J\circ\kappa_K(\tilde{\mathbf{u}})=(d)$, then there exists $\mathbf{v}$ ($\mathbf{v}=\emptyset$ if $\rho_J\circ\kappa_K(\tilde{\mathbf{u}})=(d)$) such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nu\circ\rho_J\circ\kappa_K(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}) =&(\overline{d},\mathbf{v}),\\
\nu\circ\rho_J\circ\kappa_{K\cup\{1\}}(\tilde{\mathbf{s}}) =&(\overline{2b_1+c_1+d},\mathbf{v}),\\
\nu\circ\rho_J\circ\kappa_K(\tilde{\mathbf{s}}) =&(\overline{j_1+2b_1},\mathbf{s}_1,i_1+d,\mathbf{v}).
\end{aligned}
$$

(2). If either $\rho_J\circ\kappa_K(\tilde{\mathbf{u}})=(d,\mathbf{w})$ for some $d\in\mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbf{w}\neq\emptyset$, or $\rho_J\circ\kappa_K(\tilde{\mathbf{u}})=(\overline{d})$, then there exists $\mathbf{v}$ ($\mathbf{v}=\emptyset$ if $\rho_J\circ\kappa_K(\tilde{\mathbf{u}})=(\overline{d})$) such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nu\circ\rho_J\circ\kappa_K(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}) =&(d,\mathbf{v}),\\
\nu\circ\rho_J\circ\kappa_{K\cup\{1\}}(\tilde{\mathbf{s}}) =&(2b_1+c_1+d,\mathbf{v}),\\
\nu\circ\rho_J\circ\kappa_K(\tilde{\mathbf{s}}) =&(\overline{j_1+2b_1},\mathbf{s}_1,\overline{i_1+d},\mathbf{v}).
\end{aligned}
$$

In case (1) it is easy to see that

$$2^{l(\mathbf{s}_1)} \sum_{\substack{i_1+j_1+|\mathbf{s}_1|=c_1,\\ i_1\ge 1, j_1\ge 2}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{kH_{k-1}(\mathbf{s}_1, i_1+d, \mathbf{v})(-1)^k\binom{n}{k}}{k^{j_1-2}\binom{n+k}{k}} = \frac{1}{n^{c_1-2}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{H_{k-1}(\mathbf{v})(-1)^k\binom{n}{k}}{k^{d}\binom{n+k}{k}} - \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{H_{k-1}(\mathbf{v})(-1)^k\binom{n}{k}}{k^{c_1-2+d}\binom{n+k}{k}}$$

by setting $\mathbf{s} = (\mathbf{s}_1, i_1+d)$, $c = c_1-2$, $a = d$ and $j = j_1-2$ in (8) of Lemma 3.1. In case (2) it is easy to see that

$$2^{l(\mathbf{s}_1)} \sum_{\substack{i_1+j_1+|\mathbf{s}_1|=c_1,\\ i_1\ge 1, j_1\ge 2}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{kH_{k-1}(\mathbf{s}_1, \overline{i_1+d}, \mathbf{v})(-1)^k\binom{n}{k}}{k^{j_1-2}\binom{n+k}{k}} = \frac{1}{n^{c_1-2}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{H_{k-1}(\mathbf{v})\binom{n}{k}}{k^{d}\binom{n+k}{k}} - \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{H_{k-1}(\mathbf{v})\binom{n}{k}}{k^{c_1-2+d}\binom{n+k}{k}}$$

by setting $\mathbf{s} = (\mathbf{s}_1, \overline{i_1+d})$, $c = c_1-2$, $a = d$ and $j = j_1-2$ in (10) of Lemma 3.1. This proves (32) for case $(\mathbf{2}\text{-}c\text{-}\underline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}1\text{-}\mathbf{2}\text{-}c}\text{-}\mathbf{2})$ when $r+n = N+1$..

We have completed the proof of Theorem 9.1. □

Setting $r = 0$ in Theorem 9.1 we recover [7, Theorem 2.1]. When $r = 1$ and $t = 0$ we get the following: for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{N}_0$

$$\begin{aligned} H_n^\star(\{2\}^a, 1, \{2\}^b, 3) = &-2\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{(-1)^k\binom{n}{k}}{k^{2(a+b)+4}\binom{n+k}{k}} - 4\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{H_{k-1}(\overline{2b+3})\binom{n}{k}}{k^{1+2a}\binom{n+k}{k}} \\ &-4\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{H_{k-1}(1)(-1)^k\binom{n}{k}}{k^{2a+2b+3}\binom{n+k}{k}} - 8\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{H_{k-1}(\overline{2+2b}, 1)\binom{n}{k}}{k^{1+2a}\binom{n+k}{k}} \end{aligned}$$

in case $(\underline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}1\text{-}\mathbf{2}\text{-}c}\text{-}\mathbf{2})$, and in case $(\mathbf{2}\text{-}c\text{-}\underline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}1\text{-}\mathbf{2}\text{-}c}\text{-}\mathbf{2})$:

$$\begin{aligned} H_n^\star(\{2\}^{b_1}, 3, \{2\}^a, 1, \{2\}^{b_2}, 3) = -2\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{(-1)^k\binom{n}{k}}{k^{2(b_1+a+b_2)+7}\binom{n+k}{k}} - 4\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{H_{k-1}(-3-2b_2)\binom{n}{k}}{k^{4+2b_1+2a}\binom{n+k}{k}} \\ -4\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{H_{k-1}(5+2a+2b_2)(-1)^k\binom{n}{k}}{k^{2+2b_1}\binom{n+k}{k}} - 8\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{H_{k-1}(-2-2a, -3-2b_2)(-1)^k\binom{n}{k}}{k^{2+2b_1}\binom{n+k}{k}} \\ -4\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{H_{k-1}(1)(-1)^k\binom{n}{k}}{k^{6+2b_1+2a+2b_2}\binom{n+k}{k}} - 8\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{H_{k-1}((4+2a+2b_2), 1)(-1)^k\binom{n}{k}}{k^{2+2b_1}\binom{n+k}{k}} \\ -8\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{H_{k-1}(-2-2b_2, 1)\binom{n}{k}}{k^{4+2b_1+2a}\binom{n+k}{k}} - 16\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{H_{k-1}(-2-2a, -2-2b_2, 1)(-1)^k\binom{n}{k}}{k^{2+2b_1}\binom{n+k}{k}}. \end{aligned}$$

By taking $n \to \infty$ in Theorem 9.1 and using Lemma 4.1 we obtain

Theorem 9.2. *Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a_j, b_j, c_j - 2 \in \mathbb{N}_0$ for all $j \ge 1$. Consider the following two possible types of compositions:*

$$(\underline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}1\text{-}\mathbf{2}\text{-}c}\text{-}\mathbf{2}).\ \mathbf{s} = (\{2\}^{b_1}, c_1, \{2\}^{a_1}, 1, \dots, \{2\}^{b_r}, c_r, \{2\}^{a_r}, 1, \{2\}^t),$$

$$(\mathbf{2}\text{-}c\text{-}\underline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}1\text{-}\mathbf{2}\text{-}c}\text{-}\mathbf{2}).\ \mathbf{s} = (\{2\}^{a_1}, 1, \{2\}^{b_1}, c_1, \dots, \{2\}^{a_r}, 1, \{2\}^{b_r}, c_r, \{2\}^{a_{r+1}}, 1, \{2\}^t).$$

In each case we set $\tilde{\mathbf{s}}$ as in Definition 5.1. Then we have

$$\zeta^\star(\mathbf{s}) = -2 \sum_{J \subseteq [r]} \sum_{I \subseteq [r]^*} \sum_{\substack{i_\alpha + j_\alpha + |\mathbf{s}_\alpha| = c_\alpha, \\ i_\alpha \ge 1, j_\alpha \ge 2\ \forall \alpha \notin I}} 2^{|\bar{I}| + |\bar{J}| + \sum_{\alpha \notin I} l(\mathbf{s}_\alpha)} \zeta\big(\nu \circ \rho_J \circ \kappa_I(\tilde{\mathbf{s}})\big), \tag{35}$$

where $[r]^* = [r]$ in case $(\underline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}1\text{-}\mathbf{2}\text{-}c}\text{-}\mathbf{2})$ and $[r]^* = [r+1]$ in case $(\mathbf{2}\text{-}c\text{-}\underline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}1\text{-}\mathbf{2}\text{-}c}\text{-}\mathbf{2})$, and ν negates the last component.

For example, taking $r = 1$ and $t = 0$ in case $(\underline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}1\text{-}\mathbf{2}\text{-}c}\text{-}\mathbf{2})$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta^\star(\{2\}^a, 1, \{2\}^b, 3) = &- 2\zeta(\overline{2a+2b+4}) - 4\zeta(1+2a, \overline{2b+3}) \\ &-4\zeta(\overline{2a+2b+3}, 1) - 8\zeta(1+2a, \overline{2+2b}, 1). \end{aligned}$$

and in case $(\mathbf{2}\text{-}c\text{-}\underline{\mathbf{2}\text{-}1\text{-}\mathbf{2}\text{-}c}\text{-}\mathbf{2})$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\zeta^\star(\{2\}^{b_1}, 3, \{2\}^a, 1, \{2\}^{b_2}, 3) = -2\zeta(\overline{2(b_1+a+b_2)+7}) - 4\zeta(4+2b_1+2a, \overline{3+2b_2}) \\ &-8\zeta(\overline{2+2b_1}, \overline{2+2a}, \overline{3+2b_2}) - 8\zeta(\overline{2+2b_1}, 4+2a+2b_2, 1) - 8\zeta(4+2b_1+2a, \overline{2+2b_2}, 1) \\ &-4\zeta(\overline{2+2b_1}, 5+2a+2b_2) - 4\zeta(\overline{6+2b_1+2a+2b_2}, 1) - 16\zeta(\overline{2+2b_1}, \overline{2+2a}, \overline{2+2b_2}, 1). \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

We have numerically verified these formulas with $a, b_1, b_2 \le 2$ using EZ-face [3].

10. MHS: $\underline{\mathbf{1}\text{-}c}\text{-}\mathbf{1}$ FORMULA

In this section we turn to MHS of the type $\underline{\mathbf{1}\text{-}c}\text{-}\mathbf{1}$ where the trailing $\mathbf{1}$ may be vacuous and the c's may be any positive integers (which is different from the requirement $c \ge 2$ in the previous sections). The corresponding MZSVs diverge when the leading $\mathbf{1}$ is non-empty, however, in a sequel to this paper we will study the congruence properties of MHS where the results of this section will be utilized.

The following theorem generalizes [7, Theorem 2.2].

Theorem 10.1. *Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbf{s} = (\{1\}^{a_1}, c_1, \dots, \{1\}^{a_r}, c_r, \{1\}^t)$ where $t, a_j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $c_j \in \mathbb{N}$ for all $j \ge 1$. Set $\tilde{\mathbf{s}} = (a_1, c_1, \dots, a_r, c_r, t)$ and keep all the notation the same as in Definition 5.1. Then*

$$H_n^\star(\mathbf{s}) \ = \ -\sum_{I \subseteq [r]} \sum_{\substack{i_\alpha + j_\alpha + |\mathbf{s}_\alpha| = c_\alpha, \\ i_\alpha, j_\alpha \ge 1\ \forall \alpha \notin I}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \operatorname{sgn}\big(\varphi \circ \nu \circ \kappa_I(\tilde{\mathbf{s}})\big)^k \frac{H_{k-1}\big(\operatorname{Tr} \circ \nu \circ \kappa_I(\tilde{\mathbf{s}})\big)\binom{n}{k}}{k^{|\varphi \circ \nu \circ \kappa_I(\tilde{\mathbf{s}})|}}. \tag{36}$$

Further, we have $\varphi \circ \nu = \varphi$ unless $J = [r]$ when the sign is always $(-1)^k$ in the sum.

Proof. When $n=1$ it is clear that both sides in (26) are equal to 1. We proceed by induction on $n+r$. By definition

$$\begin{aligned} H^\star_n(\mathbf{s}) =& \sum_{l=0}^{a_1} \frac{1}{n^{a_1-l}} H^\star_{n-1}(\{1\}^l, c_1, \dots, \{1\}^{a_r}, c_r, \{1\}^t) \\ &+ \frac{1}{n^{a_1+c_1}} H^\star_n(\{1\}^{a_2}, c_2, \dots, \{1\}^{a_r}, c_r, \{1\}^t). \end{aligned}$$

By induction

$$\begin{aligned} H^\star_n(\mathbf{s}) =& \sum_{l=0}^{a_1} \frac{-1}{n^{a_1-l}} \sum_{J\subseteq[r]} \sum_{I\subseteq[r]} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \operatorname{sgn}\big(\varphi\circ\nu\circ\kappa_I(\tilde{\mathbf{t}}_l)\big)^k \cdot \frac{H_{k-1}\big(\operatorname{Tr}\circ\nu\circ\kappa_I(\tilde{\mathbf{t}}_l)\big)\binom{n-1}{k}}{k^{|\varphi\circ\nu\circ\kappa_I(\tilde{\mathbf{t}}_l)|}} \\ &- \frac{1}{n^{a_1+c_1}} \sum_{J\subseteq\{2,\dots,r\}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \operatorname{sgn}\big(\varphi\circ\nu\circ\kappa_J(\tilde{\mathbf{u}})\big)^k \cdot \frac{H_{k-1}\big(\operatorname{Tr}\circ\nu\circ\kappa_J(\tilde{\mathbf{u}})\big)\binom{n}{k}}{k^{|\varphi\circ\nu\circ\kappa_J(\tilde{\mathbf{u}})|}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{\mathbf{t}}_l=(l,c_1,a_2,\dots,a_r,c_r,t)$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}=(a_2,c_2,\dots,a_r,c_r,t)$. Clearly, $\operatorname{Tr}\circ\nu\circ\kappa_I(\tilde{\mathbf{t}}_l)=\operatorname{Tr}\circ\nu\circ\kappa_I(\tilde{\mathbf{s}})$ for all l. Arguing as before we get

$$\begin{aligned} H^\star_n(\mathbf{s}) =& -\frac{1}{n^{a_1+1}} \sum_{I\subseteq[r]} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \operatorname{sgn}\big(\varphi\circ\nu\circ\kappa_I(\tilde{\mathbf{s}})\big)^k \cdot \frac{H_{k-1}\big(\operatorname{Tr}\circ\nu\circ\kappa_I(\tilde{\mathbf{s}})\big)\binom{n}{k}}{k^{\varphi\circ\nu\circ\kappa_I(\tilde{\mathbf{s}})}}(n^{a_1+1}-k^{a_1+1}) \\ &- \frac{1}{n^{a_1+c_1}} \sum_{J\subseteq\{2,\dots,r\}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \operatorname{sgn}\big(\varphi\circ\nu\circ\kappa_J(\tilde{\mathbf{u}})\big)^k \cdot \frac{H_{k-1}\big(\operatorname{Tr}\circ\nu\circ\kappa_J(\tilde{\mathbf{u}})\big)\binom{n}{k}}{k^{\varphi\circ\nu\circ\kappa_J(\tilde{\mathbf{u}})}}. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that we have the partition $\bigcup\{I\subseteq[r]\}=\bigcup_{J\subseteq\{2,\dots,r-1\}}\{J,\{1\}\cup J\}$. Now we consider two cases:

(1). If $\kappa_J(\tilde{\mathbf{u}})=(d)$ for some $d\in\mathbb{N}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \nu\circ\kappa_J(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}) =&(\overline{d}), \\ \nu\circ\kappa_{J\cup\{1\}}(\tilde{\mathbf{s}}) =&(\overline{a_1+c_1+d}), \\ \nu\circ\kappa_J(\tilde{\mathbf{s}}) =&(\overline{a_1+j_1},\mathbf{s}_1,i_1+d). \end{aligned}$$

(2). If $\kappa_J(\tilde{\mathbf{u}})=(d,\mathbf{w})$ for some $d\in\mathbb{N}$ and some composition $\mathbf{w}\neq\emptyset$, then for $\mathbf{v}=\nu(\mathbf{w})$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nu\circ\kappa_J(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}) =&(d,\mathbf{v}), \\ \nu\circ\kappa_{J\cup\{1\}}(\tilde{\mathbf{s}}) =&(a_1+c_1+d,\mathbf{v}), \\ \nu\circ\kappa_J(\tilde{\mathbf{s}}) =&(\overline{a_1+j_1},\mathbf{s}_1,\overline{i_1+d},\mathbf{v}). \end{aligned}$$

For each such fixed $J\subseteq\{2,\dots,r\}$ it suffices to prove that in case (1)

$$\sum_{\substack{i_1+j_1+|\mathbf{s}_1|=c_1,\\ i_1,j_1\geq 1}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{H_{k-1}(\mathbf{s}_1,i_1+d)\binom{n}{k}}{(-1)^k k^{j_1-1}} = \frac{1}{n^{c_1-1}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{(-1)^k\binom{n}{k}}{k^d} - \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{(-1)^k\binom{n}{k}}{k^{c_1+d-1}}, \qquad (37)$$

and in case (2)

$$\sum_{\substack{i_1+j_1+|\mathbf{s}_1|=c_1,\\ i_1,j_1\geq 1}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{H_{k-1}(\mathbf{s}_1,\overline{i_1+d},\mathbf{v})\binom{n}{k}}{(-1)^k k^{j_1-1}} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{H_{k-1}(\mathbf{v})}{k^d}\binom{n}{k}\left(\frac{1}{n^{c_1-1}}-\frac{1}{k^{c_1-1}}\right). \tag{38}$$

Equation (37) follows from (8) of Lemma 3.1 by taking $m=1$, $A^{(1)}_{n,k}$ as in Remark 3.2, $c=c_1-1, j=j_1-1, a=d$ and $\mathbf{s}=(\mathbf{s}_1, i_1+d)$. Equation (38) follows from (10) with the same choice of parameter except $\mathbf{s}=(\mathbf{s}_1,\overline{i_1+d})$. This completes the proof of our theorem. □

For example, when $r=1$ we recover [7, Theorem 2.2] and when $r=2$ we get for all $a_1,a_2,t\in\mathbb{N}_0$ and $c_1,c_2\in\mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned}
H^\star_n(\{1\}^{a_1},c_1,\{1\}^{a_2},c_2,\{1\}^t) &= -\sum_{k=1}^{n}\frac{(-1)^k\binom{n}{k}}{k^{a_1+c_1+a_2+c_2+t}} \\
-\sum_{\substack{i_2+j_2+|\mathbf{s}_2|=c_2,\\ i_2,j_2\geq 1}}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\frac{H_{k-1}(\mathbf{s}_2,i_2+t)\binom{n}{k}}{(-1)^k k^{a_1+c_1+a_2+j_2}} &- \sum_{\substack{i_1+j_1+|\mathbf{s}_1|=c_1,\\ i_1,j_1\geq 1}}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\frac{H_{k-1}(\mathbf{s}_1,i_1+a_2+c_2+t)\binom{n}{k}}{(-1)^k k^{a_1+j_1}} \\
&- \sum_{\substack{i_\alpha+j_\alpha+|\mathbf{s}_\alpha|=c_\alpha,\\ i_\alpha,j_\alpha\geq 1,\ \alpha=1,2}}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\frac{H_{k-1}(\mathbf{s}_1,i_1+a_2+j_2,\mathbf{s}_2,i_2+t)\binom{n}{k}}{(-1)^k k^{a_1+j_1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

11. Concluding Remarks

There are many recent studies on MZVs, MZSVs and even their q-analogs. Most of the MZSV relations in [8] and [11] involving special types of arguments like ours in this paper can be proved in a more straight-forward manner using our results. However, it seems that the techniques contained here are hard to generalize to deal with MZVs even though these two types of values are extremely closely related from the point of view of their algebraic structures (see [10, 11, 17, 19]). Such a generalization should help us resolve more conjectures such as those listed in [2, §7.2].

There are three more directions of research that should be of great interest. One is a theory generalizing the MHS identities obtained in this paper to truly alternating ones. We are aware of only one such instance. Setting $x=0$ and $x=1$ in [21, Lemma 5.4] we get

$$H^\star_n(\{1\}^a,\overline{1}) = \sum_{k=1}^{n}\frac{(2^k-1)(-1)^k}{k^{a+1}}\binom{n}{k} \quad \forall a\in\mathbb{N}_0.$$

Another direction is to establish a corresponding theory for multiple q-zeta values [4, 27]. Initial computations show it is quite a promising project especially because not much work has been done[1] (maybe due to lack of interest. It took four years for [27] to appear although only ten months for [4]).

As for the third direction we notice that the many MHS identities proved in this paper can be used not only to derive MZSV identities but also to prove many congruences of MHS. This idea has already been carried out in [7] to prove one of our conjectures from [28]. In general, these congruences should shed more light on the unsolved [30, Conjecture 2.6] and the conjectures at the end of [28]. This will be done in a sequel to this paper.

REFERENCES

[1] S. Akiyama, S. Egami and Y. Tanigawa, Analytic continuation of multiple zeta-functions and their values at non-positive integers, *Acta Arithmetica* **98** (2001), pp. 107–116.

[2] J.M. Borwein, D.M. Bradley and D.J. Broadhurst, and P. Lisonek, Combinatorial aspects of multiple zeta values, *Electron. J. Combin.* **5** (1998), R38.

[3] J.M. Borwein, P. Lisonek and P. Irvine, An interface for evaluation of Euler sums, available online at `http://oldweb.cecm.sfu.ca/cgi-bin/EZFace/zetaform.cgi`

[4] D. M. Bradley, Multiple q-zeta values, *J. of Algebra* **283** (2005), pp. 752–798.

[5] L. Euler, Meditationes circa singulare serierum genus, *Novi Comm. Acad. Sci. Petropol.* **20** (1775), pp. 140–186; reprinted in Opera Omnia, Ser. I, vol. **15**, B. Teubner, Berlin, 1927, pp. 217–267.

[6] K. Hessami Pilehrood, T. Hessami Pilehrood, On q-analogues of two-one formulas for multiple harmonic sums and multiple zeta star values, arXiv:1304.0269

[7] K. Hessami Pilehrood, T. Hessami Pilehrood and R. Tauraso, New properties of multiple harmonic sums modulo p and p-analogues of Leshchiner's series, to appear in *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* arXiv:1206.0407

[8] M. Igarashi, Note on relations among multiple zeta-star values. arXiv: 1106.0481

[9] M.E. Hoffman, Multiple harmonic series, *Pacific J. Math.* **152** (1992), pp. 275–290.

[10] M. Hoffman and K. Ihara, Quasi-shuffle products revisited, Max Planck Institute for Mathematics preprint 2012 (16).

[11] K. Ihara, J. Kajikawa, Y. Ohno and J. Okuda, Multiple zeta values vs. multiple zeta-star values, *J. Algebra* **332**(1) (2011), pp. 187–208.

[12] K. Imatomi, T. Tanaka, K. Tasaka and N. Wakabayashi, On some combinations of multiple zeta-star values. arXiv:0912.1951.

[13] Y. Kondo, S. Saito and T. Tanaka, The Bowman-Bradley theorem for multiple zeta-star values, *J. Number Theory* **132**(9) (2012), pp. 1984–2002.

[14] N. Kurokawa, M. Lalin, and H. Ochiai, Higher Mahler measures and zeta functions, *Acta Arith.* **135**(3) (2008), pp. 269–297.

[15] E. Linebarger and J. Zhao, A family of multiple harmonic sum and multiple zeta star value identities,arXiv:1304.??.

[16] S. Muneta, On some explicit evaluations of multiple zeta-star values, *J. Number Theory* **128**(9) (2008), pp. 2538–2548.

[17] S. Muneta, Algebraic setup of non-strict multiple zeta values, *Acta Arith.* **136**(1) (2009), pp. 7–18.

[18] Y. Ohno and W. Zudilin, Zeta stars, *Commun. Number Theory Phys.* **2** (2008), pp. 325–347.

---

[1] After we posted this paper on arxiv, Hessami Pilehroods [6] proved the q-analog of both formulas in Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2.

[19] T. Tanaka and N. Wakabayashi, An algebraic proof of the cyclic sum formula for multiple zeta values, *J. Algebra* **323**(3) (2010), pp. 766–778.

[20] K. Tasaka and S. Yamamoto, On some multiple zeta-star values of one-two-three indices. arxiv: 1203.1115.

[21] R. Tauraso, J. Zhao, Congruences of alternating multiple harmonic sums, *J. Comb. and Number Theory* **2**(2) (2010), pp. 129–159. arXiv:0909.0670

[22] S. Yamamoto, Explicit evaluation of certain sums of multiple zeta-star values, arXiv:1203.1111.

[23] S. Yamamoto, Interpolation of multiple zeta and zeta-star values, arXiv:1203.1118.

[24] D. Zagier, Values of zeta functions and their applications, in: First European Congress of Mathematics (Paris, 1992), vol. **II**, A. Joseph et. al. (eds.), Birkhäuser, Basel, 1994, pp. 497–512.

[25] D. Zagier, Evaluation of the multiple zeta values $\zeta(2,\dots,2,3,2,\dots,2)$, *Ann. of Math.* **175** (2012), pp. 977–1000.

[26] J. Zhao, Analytic continuation of multiple zeta functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **128**(1999), pp. 1275–1283.

[27] J. Zhao, Multiple q-zeta functions and multiple q-polylogarithms, *Ramanujan J.* **14**(2) (2007), pp. 189–221.

[28] J. Zhao, Wolstenholme Type Theorem for multiple harmonic sums, *Int. J. of Number Theory* **4**(1) (2008), pp. 73–106. arXiv:math.NT/0301252

[29] J. Zhao, On a conjecture of Borwein, Bradley and Broadhurst, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **639** (2010), pp. 223–233.

[30] J. Zhao, Mod p structure of alternating and non-alternating multiple harmonic sums, *J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux* **23**(1) (2011), pp. 299–308.

[31] S. Zlobin, Generating functions for the values of a multiple zeta function, *Vestnik Moskov. Univ. Ser. I Mat. Mekh.* **2** (2005), pp. 55–59. English translation: *Moscow Univ. Math. Bull.* **60**(2) (2005), pp. 44–48.